Former Surgeon General Leonard Scheele, speaking at the annual AMA convention in 1955, stated:

“No batch of vaccine can be proven safe before it is given to children.”

The late James R. Shannon of the National Institute of Health stated:

“The only safe vaccine is a vaccine that is never used.”

It’s an undeniable fact that, as the use of vaccines increases, so do the rates of chronic illness such as asthma, learning disabilities, attention deficit disorder, autism, juvenile diabetes, multiple sclerosis, chronic fatigue, and other autoimmune and neurological dysfunctions, which have crippled many children and young adults during the past several decades.

And many have even died from vaccines.

On the website of the World Health Organization, under the heading “Adverse Events Following Immunization,” this admission was made about “global vaccine safety”:

“There is no such thing as a ‘perfect’ vaccine which protects everyone who receives it and is entirely safe for everyone.”

And yet, the WHO has been involved in global campaigns to force vaccinations on countless millions of people.

What’s really going on here?

Are the admitted health risks of vaccines unintended consequences, or is this all being done on purpose, and if so, by whom, and why?

There is a shocking ignorance on the part of the general public about the dangers of vaccines, and about the sleazy methods employed by multi-billion-dollar pharmaceutical companies to impose these things on an unsuspecting populace.

This situation has got to change, and it is hoped that what is here being offered will play a sizable role in that effort.

Please read this entire document--you’re very life, and the lives of your children,

may depend on it.

==========

Trouble from the start

Edward Jenner is the alleged “hero” who invented vaccines.

However, what few people are aware of is the fact that he never studied for or passed the medical exam that was necessary for him to have been qualified as a legitimate physician.

So what did he do?

He purchased his medical degree.

In other words, he was a quack.

This is not a very flattering foundation for vaccine advocates.

From the earliest days of vaccination onward, many medical doctors and researchers have noted the harmful effects of vaccines, and have spoken out against them.

For example:

- Dr. Carlo Ruta, Professor of Materia Medica at the University of Perugia, Italy, stated back in 1896:

“Vaccination is a monstrosity, a misbegotten offspring of error and ignorance; and, being such, it should have no place in either hygiene or medicine…
“Believe not in vaccination, it is a worldwide delusion, an unscientific practice, a fatal superstition with consequences measured today by tears and sorrow without end.”

- Alfred R. Wallace, a British biologist, stated back in 1898:

“Vaccination is a gigantic delusion. It has never saved a single life. It has been the cause of so much disease, so many deaths, such a vast amount of utterly needless and altogether undeserved suffering, that it will be classed by the coming

generation among the greatest errors of an ignorant and prejudiced age, and its penal enforcement the foulest blot."

- In his 1920 book *The Horrors of Vaccination: Exposed and Illustrated*, Charles M Higgins wrote:

"The great epidemics of deadly diseases, in animals and mankind, are caused by vaccination."

- The late Dr. Robert Bell, cancer specialist at the British Medical Hospital, president of the Medical Association for the Reduction and Prevention of Cancer, vice president of the International Society of Cancer Research at the British Cancer Hospital, and a member of the American Society of Progressive Physicians, stated back in 1922:

"…the chief, if not sole cause of the monstrous increase of cancer has been vaccinations."

- In the December 6, 1952, edition of Britain's *The Lancet*, in an article entitled "Vaccination at Work," we read these damning words:

"Vaccination causes miscarriage. A careful check showed that 47% of women who had been vaccinated in the second or third month of pregnancy, failed to give birth to a normal child."

- Dr. Eleanor McBean, in her 1957 book *The Poisoned Needle*, wrote:

"Vaccination, instead of being the promised blessing to the world, has proved to be a curse of such sweeping devastation that it has caused more death and disease than war, pestilence, and plague combined. There is no scourge…that is more destructive to our nation's health than this monument of human deception--this slayer of the innocent--this crippler of body and brain--the poisoned needle."

- In 1976, Dr. Robert Simpson of Rutgers University, while addressing science writers at a seminar of the American Cancer Society, stated:

"…immunization programs against flu, measles, mumps, polio, and so forth may actually be seeding humans with RNA to form latent proviruses in cells throughout the body. These latent proviruses are molecules in search of creating disease, including rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, lupus, Parkinson's disease, and

cancer."

- In more recent times, Dr. Gerhard Buchwald, in his 2003 book *Vaccination: A Business Based on Fear*, wrote:

"Vaccinations are now carried out for purely commercial reasons because they fetch huge profits for pharmaceutical industry. There is no scientific evidence that vaccinations are of any benefit."

So clearly vaccinations are not all they are cracked up to be.

People (especially children) are being pressured, and even forced, to take vaccines--and a great many vaccines at that--all the while being assured that they are safe and effective.

But clearly they are not safe, and all too often aren't even effective either.

==========

The real cause of the Spanish Flu Pandemic

The 1918-1920 Spanish Flu pandemic was caused mostly by the Spanish Flu vaccine.

The evidence of this is overwhelming.

Note, for example, the following authoritative quotes:

- Dr. Eleanor McBean, in her 1957 book *The Poisoned Needle*, wrote:

"It was a common expression during the [first world] war that 'more soldiers were killed by vaccine shots' than by shots from enemy guns."

- John P. Heptonstall, the Director of the Morley Acupuncture Clinic and Complementary Therapy Center in West Yorkshire, stated:

"The 1918 'Spanish Flu' started in American military Camp Funston, Fort Riley, USA, amongst troops making ready for WW I--taking on board vaccinations, recruit training and all. It eventually killed about 40,000,000 people worldwide. That flu strain only appeared briefly once again, according to the US Atlanta CDC.

This was in 1976 and again it struck at the US Army camp Fort Dix, USA, amongst recently vaccinated troops (and no one else ever); Fort Dix is known to have been a vaccine trial center. Was the world's greatest 'influenza' scourge another well-hidden vaccine disaster?"

As it turns out, Influenza and Pneumonia death rates spiked between 1918 and 1920, and WW I was the first war in which US serviceman were required to be vaccinated.

Do you really think this was a coincidence?

By the way, typhoid fever began a sharp decline after WW I, when US soldiers were no longer vaccinated.

Some might argue that, even if this is true, the Spanish Flu vaccine was issued over 100 years ago, so we don't have to worry about things like that anymore since so much progress has been made in vaccine development since then.

But is this correct?

Keep reading...

==========

Disturbing disclosures

Landmark reports published in 1991 and 1994 by the Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences are quite revealing.

Committees of non-governmental, non-industry physician experts reviewed the medical literature for evidence that vaccines can cause injury and death, and confirmed that:

- The DTP (Diphtheria-Tetanus-Pertussis) vaccine can cause acute brain inflammation and permanent brain damage that ranges from learning disorders to severe and profound mental retardation.

- The DT (Diphtheria-Tetanus) vaccine can cause Guillain-Barre syndrome, including death, as well as brachial neuritis.

- The rubella vaccine can cause acute and chronic arthritis.

- The live oral polio vaccine can give polio to the person being vaccinated, or to someone who comes into contact with that person's body fluids.

- The MMR (measles-mumps-rubella) vaccine can result in shock and death.

Speaking of the MMR vaccine, in 1987 there was one particular MMR vaccine that was being used in Canada, produced by SmithKlineBeecham.

That vaccine was officially recognized as the cause of an outbreak of meningitis in many of the recipients, and it was quickly withdrawn in Canada.

But guess what happened next…

You would think that this product would have been scrapped, right?

Wrong!

Within a month it was licensed in the UK under a new name, from Trivirix to Pluserix.

Over the next four years it caused countless cases of meningitis again and was finally withdrawn in the UK as well.

So, was this vaccine finally discontinued at this point?

No.

It was then shipped to developing countries like Brazil, where it was used in a mass vaccination campaign that resulted, as you might guess, in epidemics of meningitis.

One thing that was discovered, in all of this horror, is that the younger the kids were who got this vaccine, the more likely they were to develop meningitis.

And notice how they are now pushing for kids to be vaccinated at younger and younger ages--even as babies!

Do you not find this to be VERY disturbing?

Do you really think they have the best interest of children at heart?

Here is another example of this same type of scheme:

In 2006 it was revealed that Bayer Corporation, upon discovering that their injection drug for hemophiliacs was contaminated with the HIV virus, decided to take it off the US market, but only to dump it on the European, Asian and Latin American markets instead.

No Bayer officials were ever arrested or prosecuted for this atrocity, and, as it turns out, the FDA was discovered to have colluded with Bayer in covering up this scandal in the US, and assisting in the company selling their contaminated product outside the US.

Surely you can see that something very foul was afoot here.

In her 2002 book *Natural Alternatives to Vaccination*, Dr. Zoltan Rona wrote:

"Studies are increasingly pointing to the conclusion that vaccines represent a dangerous assault to the immune system leading to autoimmune diseases like Multiple Sclerosis, Lupus, Juvenile Onset Diabetes, Fibromyalgia, and Cystic Fibrosis, as well as previously rare disorders like brain cancer, SIDS, childhood leukemia, autism, and asthma."

Dr. Shiv Chopra, who worked for the WHO, wrote in his 2009 book *Corrupt to the Core*:

"The vaccine industry is itself a fraud. I spent my whole career studying vaccines."

- In his 2012 book *The Drug Story*, Morris A. Beale stated:

"Disease is more rampant because of commercial greed. When the Rockefeller-Standard Oil crowd muscled into the drug and pharmaceutical business in such a big way, 'Scientific medicine' (if there is such a thing) was turned into a racket which shortened many American lives from ten to twenty years."

Dr. Eleanor McBean, in her 1957 book *The Poisoned Needle*, wrote:

“Vaccination is not scientific. Many of the world’s greatest thinkers, scientists, statesmen and even doctors have condemned vaccination as being a crime against humanity, a fraud promoted for private gain, an insult to the race and a blot upon the name of civilization. Yet, this treacherous practice of blood pollution, which was cradled in the lap of ignorant savage tribes, has been adopted by, supposedly, enlightened government of the present day and forced on the protesting population--for profit.”

In his 2003 book *Corporate Crime in the Pharmaceutical Industry*, Dr. John Braithwaite wrote:

“International bribery and corruption, fraud in the testing of drugs, criminal negligence in the unsafe manufacture of drugs--the pharmaceutical industry has a worse record of lawbreaking than any other industry. Data fabrication is so widespread…in the pharmaceutical industry…”

==========

Vaccines as a means of sterilization and population reduction

If sheer profiteering were the only motive behind the vaccination push, that would be bad enough.

But it gets far, far more scarier than that.

In more recent years, Bill Gates has gotten into the vaccination act.

Through his tax exempt Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Bill has portrayed himself as a warrior against diseases, hunger and poverty, particularly in Africa.

But is he really concerned about these things?

In an invitation-only conference he attended in Long Beach, California, in 2010--the TED2010 Conference--he gave a speech called “Innovating to Zero,” in which he laid out his real vaccine agenda--population control (or eugenics).

During this speech, he declared:

“First we got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That’s headed

up to about 9 billion. Now if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 percent."

Did you catch that?

He refers to vaccines as a means of population control.

More specifically, he's talking about using vaccines to REDUCE the population.

Now how do you suppose that can happen?

There is only one way--by killing people through vaccines (and we know they've already been doing just that).

But they are also using vaccines to sterilize people, to reduce population growth, as we shall see.

But in case you're not convinced that Gates is in favor of killing people, check this out:

In July of 2010, at the Aspen Ideas Festival in Aspen, Colorado, Gates, during a question and answer session, expressed his opinion that elderly patients undergoing expensive medical treatments should be eliminated so that money could be saved and spent on what he considers more important things.

Here's what he said:

"[There is a] lack of willingness [to consider the question of choosing between] spending a million dollars on that last three months of life for that [elderly] patient [or laying off ten teachers]. But that's called the death panel and you're not supposed to have that discussion."

What an absolute monster!

Here's a scary thought: In January of 2010, at the Davos World Economic Forum, Gates announced that his Foundation would give $10 billion over the next decade to develop and deliver new vaccines to children in Third World countries.

Sounds commendable, right?

But sadly, Bill Gates, like the rest of the world's elites, does not have the interests of the general population in mind.

All they seek is money and power--and lots of it!

The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is a founding member of GAVI (Global Alliance for Vaccinations and Immunization), created in partnership with such organizations as the World Bank, the World Health Organization, and major pharmaceutical companies.

The goal of GAVI, as you might guess, is to vaccinate every child in the developing world.

To understand why they would want to do that, let us consider the late David Rockefeller, who was a good friend of Bill Gates and shared his sentiments of global power and profiteering.

His Rockefeller Foundation was involved, as early as 1972, in a major project, along with the World Health Organization and others, to perfect a “new vaccine” allegedly for the eradication of tetanus in Third World countries.

The results of the WHO-Rockefeller collaboration were put into use on human guinea pigs in the early 1990s.

The WHO oversaw massive vaccination campaigns against tetanus in Nicaragua, Mexico and the Philippines.

Comite Pro Vida de Mexico, a Catholic lay organization, became suspicious of the motives behind the WHO-Rockefeller program, and decided to test numerous vials of the vaccine, and found them to contain Human Chronic Gonadotrophin, or HCG.

That was a most curious component for a vaccine supposedly designed to protect people against lock-jaw arising from infection with rusty nail wounds or other contact with certain bacteria found in soil.

It turns out that HCG is a natural hormone needed to maintain pregnancy.

However, when combined with a tetanus toxoid carrier, it stimulates the formation of antibodies against HCG, rendering a woman incapable of maintaining a

pregnancy.

In other words, this was a concealed form of abortion.

This same component was also discovered in the WHO-Rockefeller material sent to the Philippians and Nicaragua.

So, is this the type of "humanitarian service" that Bill Gates is interested in?

Absolutely!

But perhaps you're thinking that the US government would have gone after Gates and Rockefeller if they were doing such things.

Well, guess again, because, as it turns out, the US government itself has been involved in sterilization programs, right here in the US, against US citizens.

For example, ABC News reported on May 15, 2005:

"From the early 1900s to the 1970s, some 65,000 men and women were sterilized in this country, many without their knowledge, as part of a government eugenics program to keep so-called undesirables from reproducing. 'The procedures that were done here were done to poor folks,' said Steven Selden, professor at the University of Maryland. 'They were thought to be poor because they had bad genes or bad inheritance, if you will. And so they would be the focus of the sterilization.'"

Are you starting to see how much of a corrupt system we have here?

As already indicated, vaccines aren't just used to sterilize people, but to outright kill them, to bring current population figures down.

The European Union Times, for example, on January 13, 2020, carried this headline:

"Top U.N. Scientist Says Vaccines Killing People During 'Vaccine Safety Summit'"

It stated:

“A top scientists for the United Nations admitted in leaked video that vaccines are dangerous and are killing some individuals, just days after assuring the public that vaccines were one of the safest tools humanity has.

“Dr. Soumya Swaminathan, the Chief Scientist of the World Health Organization, admitted that some vaccines are killing people during the WHO Global Vaccine Safety Summit last December in Geneva, Switzerland.

“‘I don’t think we can overemphasize the fact we really don’t have very good safety monitoring systems in many countries and this adds to the miscommunication and the misapprehensions,’ Swaminathan said.

“‘Because we’re not able to give very good clear-cut answers when people ask questions about the deaths that have occurred due to a particular vaccine, and this always gets blown up in the media.

“‘One should be able to give a very factual account of what exactly is happening, what the cause of deaths are, but in most cases there’s some obfuscation at that level and therefore there’s less and less trust in the system,’ she added.

“This scientist’s admission begs the question: if vaccines are killing people, why does the establishment push them as completely safe and constantly demonize people who question their safety?”

Very good question.

And its answer should now be obvious--because the establishment wants the population reduced.

==========

The eugenics agenda of the elites

Before proceeding, it’s necessary to elaborate a bit on the age-old agenda that the elites (the ones who REALLY control this world) have had to implement population reduction measures on a global scale.

Since they want to hoard the world’s resources for themselves, and since a smaller population is easier for them to control, they want the world’s population significantly reduced by killing off great numbers of people.

Let’s look at some authoritative quotes from elite sources that verify this ugly fact over the past century or so:

H.G. Wells, in his 1901 book *Anticipations of the Reactions of Mechanical and Scientific Progress Upon Human Life and Thought*, wrote:

"[T]he…system which will dominate the world state...will be shaped...to check the procreation of base and servile types....

"The method that must in some cases still be called in to the help of man is death...the merciful obliteration of weak and silly and pointless things. The new ethic will hold life to be a privilege...and the alternative in right conduct between living fully, beautifully, and efficiently, will be to die....The men of the new republic [future world government] will have little pity and less benevolence....The men of the new republic will not be squeamish either in facing or inflicting death....They will have an ideal that will make killing worth the while....

"The new republic will aim to establish...a world state with a...common rule. All over the world its...control will run."

Julian Huxley was a brother of the famous Aldous Huxley (author of *Brave New World*).

Julian had served as the president of the Eugenics Society, as well as the founding Director General of the U.N.'s UNESCO program.

In the 1946 UNESCO publication, *UNESCO, Its Purpose and Its Philosophy*, Julian wrote:

"Even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years...politically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care, and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that is now unthinkable may at least become thinkable."

In his 1951 book *The Impact of Science on Society*, Bertrand Russell wrote this about proposed mechanisms for population control:

"War... has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full."

Russell also wrote:

“I do not predict that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing....War...has hitherto been disappointing in this respect, but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation, survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full....The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of that? Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness, especially other people’s. There are three ways of securing a society that shall be stable as regards population. The first is that of birth control, the second that of infanticide or really destructive wars, and the third that of general misery, except for a powerful minority.”

In a 1972 population control propaganda book, *Population and Survival*, author Jack Nelson talked about a coming:

“International Mortality Lottery [which] would solve the world over-population crisis. Each year, five percent of the earth’s inhabitants between the ages of 30 and 40 would be exterminated.”

Prince Philip was quoted in the Deutsche Press Agentur, in August of 1988, as saying.

“In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.”

The late Jacques Cousteau had also thrown in his two cents.

Here’s what he had to say about “death control,” as quoted in a U.N. publication, nonetheless--*The UNESCO Courier*, November 1991 edition:

“It’s terrible to have to say this. World population must be stabilized and to do that we must eliminate 350,000 people per day.”

Notice what demographer Barbara Logue, speaking at the annual conference of the American Economic Association, had to say, which was recorded in the January 12, 1997 edition of the *Minneapolis Star-Tribune*, in an article entitled “The Methuselah Dilemma: Can America Afford Grandma and Grandpa?”:

“It’s time for the U.S. to consider moving from birth control to ‘death control.’...Death control clearly has considerable potential as a reallocative mechanism for scarce resources such as health care.”

In 1998, Ted Turner gave the United Nations over $1 billion to be used for population reduction policies planet-wide.

In a later Audubon magazine interview, Turner stated:

"A total world population of 250-300 million people--a 95% decline from present levels--would be ideal." - https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/video-ted-turner-reduce-population-by-five-billion-people

Atop a hill in Elbert County, Georgia, sit four large stone tablets known as the "Georgia Guidestones."

They list several things that need to be accomplished, in order to establish world government.

But point number 1 is what we want to pay close attention to, which says:

"Maintain humanity under 500,000,000 in perpetual balance with nature."

The billionaire founder of Sun Microsystems, Bill Joy, came out in 2000 and blew the whistle on the population reduction movement and its lust for global domination.

He said that there was a "cancerous consensus" among the technocratic elite who intended to completely enslave the entire human population by 2030, and he said that they also intended to exterminate massive amounts of the general population.

In the September 2000 Project for the New American Century document called "Rebuilding America's Defenses," Dick Cheney said that, in order for the US to remain the dominant force in the world in the 21st century, there was an urgent need to develop "race-specific bioweapons as politically useful tools."

Even the religious world has gotten into the act over the years.

On November 12, 2006, the UK's *Daily Mail* carried an article called "Outrage as Church backs calls for severely disabled babies to be killed at birth," which stated:

"The Church of England has broken with tradition[al] dogma by calling for doctors

to be allowed to let sick newborn babies die.

"Christians have long argued that life should [be] preserved at all costs--but a bishop representing the national church has now sparked controversy by arguing that there are occasions when it is compassionate to leave a severely disabled child to die.

"And the Bishop of Southwark, Tom Butler, who is the vice chair of the Church of England's Mission and Public Affairs Council, has also argued that the high financial cost of keeping desperately ill babies alive should be a factor in life or death decisions."

Bill Gates is all for ridding the world of ailing seniors and chronically ill people, in general.

On July 8, 2010, at the Aspen Ideas Festival, in Aspen, Colorado, Gates made these comments during an interview:

"The US spends 17% GDP on healthcare, and…so you say, 'Hey, what do we get for that?' Well, we get nothing….We're spending a huge rate which, if it wasn't increasing faster than inflation…then ok, you could probably afford it….[There's] a lack of willingness to say, you know, 'Is spending a million dollars on that last 3 months of life for that patient, would it be better not to lay off those ten teachers to make that trade-off in medical costs? But that's called the death panel, and you're not supposed to have that discussion." - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=03MZG9vK0W8

In further regard to the agenda of the elimination of seniors, *Bloomberg* carried this article on October 20, 2020:

"Old Age Is the Next Global Economic Threat"

We could go on and on here, but hopefully enough sources have been cited to drive the point home that the elites most definitely are into massively reducing the world's population by killing off large segments thereof.

But back to our vaccine discussion…

==========

Making us guinea pigs by legal fiat

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996, also known as the Kennedy-Kassebaum bill, further reinforced the government-operated electronic surveillance and tracking mechanism for monitoring every American's medical records, using vaccination as the vehicle.

Uniform electronic data element, collection and exchange standards were adopted.

HIPAA also resurrected the Unique Health Care Identifier Number.

Officials operating the National Immunization Program and HHS (Health and Human Services) were pleased with HIPAA, and stated:

"Any standards...should support the ability of health care workers and public health officials to access appropriately specific and precise health data."

"A current recommendation is for the first dose of hepatitis B vaccine to be given at birth. To record this first vaccination, when it is given in the hospital nursery, and to support its ultimate linkage with the immunization registry, either the New Unique Health Care Identifier would have to be assigned expeditiously within a few hours of a request, or a temporary ID number that would ultimately link to the definitive identifier would be needed.

"We see entries in the immunization registries as a small part of what could ultimately develop into more comprehensive clinical and preventive databases.

"State laws intended to ensure privacy have presented barriers to immunization registries in some areas. Preemptive federal legislation is needed to ensure appropriate privacy while allowing participation in registries that protect the public by reducing disease. It is not clear that signed consent by the patient is necessary...."

Did you catch that?

But it gets worse still...

According to this bill, and several others like it, citizens can be enrolled without their informed consent as research subjects in medical experiments, if researchers make the case that the study will contribute to the public health.

This means that, without the individual's informed consent, researchers working with government, industry and private physicians will be allowed unrestricted access to personal medical records for the purpose of enrolling unsuspecting patients into medical research experiments.

Scientific researchers of the future could experiment on citizens--including babies!--with new drugs and vaccines.

The elderly will not know whether the nursing home doctor urging the use of a new antidepressant or the family pediatrician recommending to a mother that her infant get 15 vaccines in one day, is making that recommendation because it is in the best interest of the individual, or because the doctor has enrolled his patients in a government-endorsed medical experiment.

So you think this won't happen?

I have news for you--it already IS happening!

The Organic Consumers Association reported on their website on November 18, 2005:

"[The] EPA's newly proposed rule, misleadingly titled 'Protections for Subjects in Human Research,' puts industry profits ahead of children's welfare. The rule allows for government and industry scientists to treat children as human guinea pigs in chemical experiments in the following situations: 1. Children who 'cannot be reasonably consulted,' such as those that are mentally handicapped or orphaned newborns....With permission from the institution or guardian in charge of the individual, the child may be exposed to chemicals for the sake of research. 2. Parental consent forms are not necessary for testing on children who have been neglected or abused. 3. Chemical studies on any children outside of the U.S. are acceptable."

And just when you thought it couldn't get any crazier.

With this thought in mind, note the following headline from Fort-Russ.com on May 29, 2020:

"COVID-19 Vaccines: Continuing The Long History Of Medical Experimentation On Children"

Here's another related matter:

Christine Grady is a person of great interest in the Covid-19 saga.

Who is she?

Well, for starters, she works for the NIH--the National Institutes of Health.

And what does she do there?

She's the Chief of Bioethics and the Head of "Section on Human Subjects Research."

Did you catch that?

She is both the head of bioethics and human subjects research?

Her aim has been to convince people to volunteer as lab rats, for free, leading them to believe that they are serving mankind in doing so, when in reality all they are serving is big pharma interests.

And all of this is considered "bioethics."

How much do you want to bet that she has lured many children--orphans--into such research programs?

Anyway, now for the real clincher.

Guess who she is married to...

Dr. Anthony Fauci--the key mover and shaker in the whole Covid-19 saga and its vaccine push, of which we will have much more to say about later.

==========

The consequences of a 1986 law that eliminated big pharma liabilities

After national publicity in 1982 with the broadcast of the NBC TV documentary "DPT: Vaccine Roulette," informing the public about DPT vaccine risks, the vaccine manufacturers and physician organizations lobbied Congress for legislation to protect them from vaccine injury lawsuits.

They didn't raise a finger to try and make vaccines safer, and nor were they told to do so.

All that big pharma was concerned about was getting the government to protect them from being sued.

In the meantime, parents of vaccine-injured children, who co-founded the National Vaccine Information Center, fought to protect the rights of families and to insert vaccine safety provisions in the law, such as mandatory reporting and recording of vaccine reactions by physicians.

Federal health officials opposed the legislation to the very end, maintaining that vaccines have no substantial risks, and that those children who are injured or die following vaccination are, in effect, genetically defective and would have died or been disabled even if no vaccinations had been given.

Anyway, in 1986, President Reagan signed the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act into law (PL99-660), giving historic societal acknowledgement that vaccines can injure and kill individuals, and creating a federal vaccine injury compensation system.

However, this law did not hold vaccine manufacturers responsible for injuries caused by vaccines mandated by the US government.

Not only that, but on the occasion where compensation was awarded to people hurt by vaccines, guess where the money came from--again, not the vaccine companies, but from a hidden excise tax included in the cost of the vaccines.

In other words, the consumers themselves were made liable for vaccine injuries that vaccine companies should be held liable for.

What an outrageous scam!

Ever since then, the vaccine companies have gotten a free ride no matter what happened, not only being free from shelling out money for damages, but free from any litigation whatsoever.

Perhaps nobody described the effects of this 1986 law better than RFK Jr., who stated during an interview with Tucker Carlson on April 20, 2017:

"…no matter how sloppy the line protocols, no matter how absent the quality control, no matter how toxic the ingredients, or egregious the injury to your child,

you cannot sue them.

"So there's no depositions, there's no discovery, there's no class action suits. All of a sudden, vaccines became enormously profitable."

Beginning in 1993, federal health officials, under Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Secretary Donna Shalala, had moved to systematically gut this mostly lame 1986 law and fight every vaccine injury claim with the help of Department of Justice lawyers.

Because of the relentless efforts of Shalala, vaccine manufacturers and physicians administering vaccines have been absolved of liability for their products and actions with regard to selling and administering mandated vaccines to children.

So let's look at how vaccines now go to market: When the FDA licenses a new vaccine, the drug company lobbies federal health officials at the CDC to issue a recommendation for "universal use" of the new vaccine by all children, and once that happens, state health officials add the new vaccine to the list of mandated vaccines.

Sweet deal, wouldn't you say?

Do realize than when the government mandates vaccines, or allows employers or educational institutions to mandate them, it does so with full knowledge that these vaccines are potentially health and life threatening.

As you can see, the whole legislative process regarding vaccinations is not done with the public's health or safety in mind.

Instead, it is done with corporate profits in mind, period.

But it gets worse...

The salon.com website reported on June 16, 2005:

"When a study revealed that mercury in childhood vaccines may have caused autism in thousands of kids, the government rushed to conceal the data--and to prevent parents from suing drug companies for their role in the epidemic.

"In June 2000, a group of top government scientists and health officials gathered for a meeting at the isolated Simpsonwood conference center in Norcross, Ga. Convened by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the meeting

was held at this Methodist retreat center, nestled in wooded farmland next to the Chattahoochee River, to ensure complete secrecy. The agency had issued no public announcement of the session--only private invitations to fifty-two attendees. There were high-level officials from the CDC and the Food and Drug Administration, the top vaccine specialist from the World Health Organization in Geneva and representatives of every major vaccine manufacturer, including GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Wyeth and Aventis Pasteur. All of the scientific data under discussion, CDC officials repeatedly reminded the participants, was strictly 'embargoed.' There would be no making photocopies of documents, no taking papers with them when they left.

"The federal officials and industry representatives had assembled to discuss a disturbing new study that raised alarming questions about the safety of a host of common childhood vaccines administered to infants and young children. According to a CDC epidemiologist named Tom Verstraeten, who had analyzed the agency's massive database containing the medical records of 100,000 children, a mercury-based preservative in the vaccines--thimerosal--appeared to be responsible for a dramatic increase in autism and a host of other neurological disorders among children. 'I was actually stunned by what I saw,' Verstraeten told those assembled at Simpsonwood, citing the staggering number of earlier studies that indicate a link between thimerosal and speech delays, attention-deficit disorder, hyperactivity and autism. Since 1991, when the CDC and the FDA had recommended that three additional vaccines laced with the preservative be given to extremely young infants--in one case, within hours of birth--the estimated number of cases of autism had increased fifteenfold, from one in every 2,500 children to one in 166 children....

"[I]nstead of taking immediate steps to alert the public and rid the vaccine supply of thimerosal, the officials and executives at Simpsonwood spent most of the next two days discussing how to cover up the damaging data. According to transcripts obtained under the Freedom of Information Act, many at the meeting were concerned about how the damaging revelations about thimerosal would affect the vaccine industry's bottom line....

"[T]he government has proved to be far more adept at handling the damage than at protecting children's health. The CDC paid the Institute of Medicine to conduct a new study to whitewash the risks of thimerosal, ordering researchers to 'rule out' the chemical's link to autism. It withheld Verstraeten's findings, even though they had been slated for immediate publication, and told other scientists that his original data had been 'lost' and could not be replicated. And to thwart the Freedom of Information Act, it handed its giant database of vaccine records over to a private company, declaring it off-limits to researchers. By the time Verstraeten finally published his study in 2003, he had gone to work for

GlaxoSmithKline and reworked his data to bury the link between thimerosal and autism....

"The drug companies are also getting help from powerful lawmakers in Washington. Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist, who has received $873,000 in contributions from the pharmaceutical industry, has been working to immunize vaccine makers from liability in 4,200 lawsuits that have been filed by the parents of injured children. On five separate occasions, Frist has tried to seal all of the government's vaccine-related documents--including the Simpsonwood transcripts--and shield Eli Lilly, the developer of thimerosal, from subpoenas. In 2002, the day after Frist quietly slipped a rider known as the 'Eli Lilly Protection Act' into a homeland security bill, the company contributed $10,000 to his campaign and bought 5,000 copies of his book on bioterrorism. Congress repealed the measure in 2003--but earlier this year, Frist slipped another provision into an anti-terrorism bill that would deny compensation to children suffering from vaccine-related brain disorders. 'The lawsuits are of such magnitude that they could put vaccine producers out of business and limit our capacity to deal with a biological attack by terrorists,' says Andy Olsen, a legislative assistant to Frist..."

This is so evil, it's beyond words.

Reddit posted a similar article on its website in March of 2020, entitled:

"CDC Concedes In Federal Court It Does NOT Have Studies to Support Its Claim 'Vaccines Do Not Cause Autism'"

It stated, in part:

"March 5th, 2020, in a federal lawsuit filed by the nonprofit Informed Consent Action Network, the Center for Disease Control has conceded it has no scientific studies to back up its long declared assertion that 'vaccines given to babies do not cause autism.'

"The CDC claims on its website that 'vaccines do not cause autism.'

"Despite this claim, studies have found between 40 and 70% of parents with an autistic child continue to blame vaccines for their child's autism typically pointing to vaccines given during the first six months of life."

The CDC has a very long history of lying and backtracking like this, and yet most people in the US continue to trust and follow whatever this mafioso organization tells them to do.

And especially has this been the case since the Covid-19 caper began.

Anyway, it should be pointed out that, even though mercury is a deadly toxin, the real cause of autism is from the vaccines themselves, aside from the mercury-laden preservative thimerosal.

Most people will never realize this, of course, because they trust the government, which always jumps to the defense of big corporations, especially vaccine manufacturers, just like when the government defended DuPont from their toxic dumping across the countryside of C8 that was used in the creation of Teflon, which caused countless cancers and deaths.

Even though corporations have been exempt from vaccine liabilities since 1986, many parents of children who have suffered serious adverse vaccine reactions have still tried to sue big pharma, but with no success, of course, since the government, along with certain sell-out medical establishments that get perks from pharmaceutical companies, constantly side with these companies.

Note, for example, the following article from CBS News' website posted on February 22, 2011, entitled:

“Supreme Court Rejects Vaccine Lawsuit”

It stated:

“The Supreme Court today gave vaccine manufacturers greater protection from lawsuits by parents who say vaccinations harmed their children, ruling that Congress had blocked those types of claims against drug makers.

“In a 6-2 decision, the justices said Congress had effectively shut the courthouse door to these lawsuits in 1986, when it created a special vaccine court designed to compensate victims of vaccine injuries.

“The decision immediately was hailed by the American Academy of Pediatrics, which said it would safeguard the nation's vaccine supply by protecting vaccine makers from potentially crippling legal liability--which could have driven manufacturers out of the vaccine market.”

Though vaccine companies have not paid a dime out in liabilities since 1986, many parents of injured children have won billions over the years--money that came, as stated above, from the hidden tax in the cost of the vaccines.

In fact, according to the National Vaccine Information Center's website (nvic.org):

"By 2019, the VICP [Vaccine Injury Compensation Program] had awarded more than $4 billion in federal compensation to vaccine injured children and adults."

The fact that this much money has been dealt out over the years from vaccine injuries is quite revealing, showing that vaccines do indeed cause injuries--something that is commonly denied.

And bear in mind that this figure would be much higher, except that most parents who children have suffered from vaccines had never become aware of the responsibility of vaccines for their children's' illnesses, because they weren't told and didn't do their homework.

But do notice how, even though there are clearly many, many instances of serious vaccine-related injuries and deaths every year, which the government is fully aware of, the government has constantly sought to make vaccinations mandatory (and this is especi9ally true with the Covid-19 vaccine).

Here's another example of how the government has jumped to the defense of big pharma during another instance where justice was sought in court for a vaccine injury, again attempting to challenge the 1986 non-liability law:

In 2011 in a split decision in Bruesewitz v. Wyeth, the US Supreme Court majority ruled that vaccines are "unavoidably unsafe" and effectively removed all liability from drug companies, even if there was evidence a drug company could have made a vaccine safer.

Do you see whose side your government is on?

Do you see how you cannot trust either the government or the pharmaceutical companies, because they are deeply in bed with one another?

But you just have to love the doublespeak here--that no more suits can be filed because VACCINES ARE UNAVOIDABLY UNSAFE--which is the whole reason for the need to file lawsuits!

In a series of reports published between 1991 and 2013, the Institute of Medicine affirmed that scientific evidence demonstrates that vaccines can cause injury and death and that some people are genetically, biologically and environmentally at

higher risk for being harmed by vaccines but doctors do not know how to identify who they are before vaccination.

Even so, federal health officials have eliminated almost all health conditions from qualifying for a medical exemption to vaccination.

When liability-free pharmaceutical products can cause injury and death, especially when some people are biologically more vulnerable to suffering harm but doctors do not know how to predict who they are, protection of the human right to informed consent to medical risk taking becomes even more important.

And yet, where is it?

Did you know that you not only cannot sue vaccine manufacturers today for vaccine injuries, but neither can you sue a doctor, even if you are coerced by him into getting a vaccine against your better judgment.

Just look at what a rigged game this is.

Coming back to the autism factor…

Doreen Granpeesheh, founder of the Center for Autism and Related Disorders, stated:

"I am a licensed clinical psychologist and a board certified behavior analyst. In 1978, the prevalence of autism was about 1 in 15,000 children--it was a very rare disorder. I was working at a clinic at UCLA, which was pretty much the only known center for treating children with autism. We had maybe 6 to 10 children who we were working with.

"Somewhere around the early 90s,…the growth of autism has been so high and so rapid I've felt that I could never catch up anymore."

Why the sudden surge of autism in the early 90s?

Because it was at this time that the administering of vaccinations shot through the roof (and, again, a time when vaccine manufacturers were no longer liable for injuries).

Do you see what was going on here?

By the way, do be aware that from 1985 to 1993, new vaccines were emerging at a phenomenal rate and were being heavily pushed on children for such things as:

Diphtheria
Tetanus
Pertussis
Measles
Mumps
Rubella
Polio
Hib

By the way, the vaccine-autism connection is indisputable and cannot be ignored.

There have literally been thousands of parents who have complained that, after their child received the MMR vaccine, they developed a fever, and that after they came out of the fever, they lost speech and ultimately regressed into autism.

These statistics speak for themselves.

Unfortunately, it's very difficult for them to get anywhere when big money calls the shots (pardon the pun), and engages in cover-ups with the assistance of bought off medical researchers and government officials.

Coming back to Doreen Granpeesheh, she stated:

"For me it became really necessary to start looking at what types of medical issues are these [autistic] children going through--what are the other factors that have affected them that have brought them to this [condition of] autism? This is now probably the mid 90s. I came to notice that there was a very high use of antibiotics amongst these children. But what became pretty interesting was seeing the number of families who were reporting that their child had a regressive type of behavior occur right after their vaccinations. A lot of these parents were showing me footage of their children who had been completely developing normally until 18 months, and then, all of a sudden, post-vaccination, had developed incredible regression--children who had speech anywhere close to 50 to 100 words had completely lost all of their words; children who were extremely attached and were interactive with their parents had suddenly become isolated, no longer responding to their own name. This was all happening right after their MMR vaccines."

Gastroenterologist Andrew Wakefield has pointed out a very disturbing correlation between the MMR vaccine and autism.

Because of this, he was unjustly accused by the British Journal of Medicine of fraud.

However, this same journal later admitted that further studies needed to be done on this matter.

But have they been done?

No.

Will they ever be done?

No.

And why?

Because big pharma doesn't want that, since that would end its nice little multi-billion dollar scam.

Here in the US, one of the biggest advocates of big pharma is the CDC, which has again and again covered up the crimes of big pharma.

For example, Newmax.com carried this article on July 26, 2015:

"CDC Should Admit Cover-up of Vaccine-Autism Link: Top Doctor"

It stated:

"Last summer, Dr. William Thompson, a senior epidemiologist at the Centers for Disease Control, admitted that he had helped the CDC hide data from a study which linked the MMR vaccine to autism. Holistic doctor David Brownstein tells Newsmax Health the CDC is still not owning up to Dr. Thompson's charges that they found data that links the vaccine to a spike in autism. 'The CDC is still not coming clean,' Dr. Brownstein says. 'They want to keep it quiet, but it's time the cover-up ended.'"

This same story was covered by *Time* magazine on August 28, 2014, in an article called "Whistleblower Claims CDC Covered Up Data Showing Vaccine-Autism Link."

William Thompson, PhD, who is a senior scientist at the CDC, by the way, stated in the film "Vaxxed":

"I have waited a long time to tell my story and I want to tell it truthfully. I was involved in deceiving millions of taxpayers regarding the potential negative side effects of vaccines. We lied about the scientific findings. The CDC can no longer be trusted to do vaccine safety work. Can't be trusted to be transparent. The CDC can't be trusted to police itself. Just a few thoughts."

One of the main reasons why the CDC is anything but a neutral party in the vaccine debate is the fact that it has a major ax to grind.

RFK Jr. had this to say on the matter:

"The CDC is not an independent agency. It is a vaccine company. The CDC owns over 20 vaccine patents. It sells about $4.6 billion of vaccines every year….

"Four scathing federal studies, including two by Congress, one by the U.S. Senate, and one by the HHS Inspector General, paint the CDC as a cesspool of corruption, mismanagement and dysfunction with alarming conflicts of interest suborning its research, regulatory and policymaking functions."

There is a huge revolving door between the CDC and big pharma, and always has been.

For instance, Dr. Julie Gerberding, who was the director of the CDC from 2001 until 2008, was given a very lucrative position as the head of the vaccine division in Merck, in 2009, and was then awarded millions in stock options.

As another example, Dr. William Thompson--the CDC whistleblower--first began his career with Merck.

Anyway, in further regard to the connection between vaccines and autism, it's important to point out that, between 1995 and 2000, at a time when thimerosal first began to be used in vaccines, autism rates rose over 800% in the US.

Rest assured, this was no coincidence.

But it’s not just thimerosal that causes autism in vaccines--vaccines in general cause autism.

In fact, over the past ¾ of a century, as vaccines have become more prevalent, autism cases have risen, overall in the US, over 3,000 times what they were prior to that time.

Between 1999 and 2006, autism increased by a staggering 237% in California, following their whooping cough vaccine program.

And things have not been any better in the UK, where vaccination programs have also increased dramatically in recent decades.

Back to the US, note these disturbing statistics:

In the 1970s, one in 10,000 babies were born autistic.
In the 1980s, it rose to one in 500
In the 1990s, it rose further to one in 100
By 2003, it was one in 86.

Think about it!

Early in 1999, a Congressional hearing on vaccine safety was held and televised across the country, focusing specifically on autism resulting from vaccination.

During the proceedings, CDC representative Coleen Boyle read a prepared statement denying any connection between autism and vaccines.

Next, Congressman Dan Burton asked Boyle if she thought it was a conflict of interest for the same people who were funded by vaccine manufacturers to be on the Advisory Board of the CDC, making decisions about which vaccines should be given to American children.

Boyle did not answer, so Burton repeated the question.

And again Boyle just stared blankly like a deer in the headlights.

Her lack of an answer spoke volumes.

There is a name for this, where government does the bidding of big business--fascism.

But it's interesting how the government, on the one hand, pressures people to get vaccinated and insists that vaccines are safe, and yet, on the other hand, does things like this (perhaps just to silence the many parents who were complaining about government inaction):

In 1990, the CDC and the FDA created VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System), which was set up to handle public complaints about adverse vaccine reactions.

In its first 2 ½ years of operation, it received a total of 54,072 complaints about adverse reactions from around the country, and at least 471 deaths.

As of 1997, the number of death complaints went up to 1,094.

A year later, in 1998, Mothering magazine published this editorial comment:

"Approximately one-half of the hundreds of parents who call our office each month report that their child became autistic shortly after receiving a vaccination."

From 2003 to 2015, VAERS recorded 127 measles vaccine-related deaths nationwide, while in the same period there were only two deaths from the measles disease itself.

What an outrage.

So "safe" are vaccines, that there is not one single insurance company in the world that will insure anyone against vaccine damage.

But it wouldn't matter anyway, because vaccine manufacturers are not required to have liability insurance.

In fact, except for the vaccine industry alone, no business can legally produce and offer products or services without liability insurance.

And did you know that vaccine producers are no longer required to do compulsory double-blind studies, which are necessary for drugs?

This is because vaccines are not classified as drugs.

Thus vaccines are able to go to market with bare minimal scrutiny for safety or quality control.

And incidentally, ever since 1986, when vaccine makers were made immune to lawsuits, the average number of required vaccines for the average school child up to age 18 rose from 10 doses to 69, for a total of 16 types of vaccinations, and 49 of those doses are administered before age 6.

Not only that, but 8.3 million American teens are on some type of psychiatric medication--undoubtedly as a result of brain damage incurred by vaccinations.

In fact, 30 percent of young adults in the US have now been diagnosed with some type of mental illness--anxiety disorder, bipolar, schizophrenia--you name it.

And, again, we can thank vaccines for most, if not all, of this mess.

So we are now forced to face the ugly truth that the medical establishment, and the government that backs it, is not the least bit concerned with peoples' health.

The industry is only concerned about money and power--power to sterilize and kill people off at will without liabilities.

But let's just consider the money factor by itself for a moment.

You would think that this factor alone would cause people to want to more closely scrutinize the motive of the vaccine industry and the government's drive to force vaccinate the population.

Don't forget that the government benefits from pharma profits, since politicians get handsome campaign contributions from thence.

If one was to start looking more closely at this industry, just from the profiteering angle alone, a very troubling pattern would emerge that would force said individual to start asking whether or not the recent spate of microbial scourges--bird flue, West Nile flue, H1N1, etc.--were created by the industry so that they could come along and force inoculate people from them and massively profiteer thereby.

If you should have such a curious mind that wishes to pursue this matter further, a

good book to check out would be Torsten Engelbrecht's 2007 work, *Virus Mania: How the Medical Industry Continually Invents Epidemics, Making Billion-Dollar Profits At Our Expense*.

But let us consider one particular viral outbreak in this context...

==========

Covid-19 and the global-scale vaccine push

A few careful observations reveal that the Covid-19 virus was indeed created in a lab--and with US government funding at that.

First of all, let us take note of how the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, published the results of some very interesting virus research that was done there in November of 2015.

One of the scholars this report listed in the research and development of a particular virus was Zheng-Li Shi who, as fate would have it, was actually from the Key Laboratory of Special Pathogens and Biosafety at the Wuhan Institute of Virology at the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Did you catch that?

Wuhan!

In 2014, Shi was the recipient of a number of US government grants, as well as grants from the National Basic Research program of China, the Chinese Academy of Science, the National Natural Science Foundation of China, and the Chinese Strategic Priority Research Program, to "assist with...research into coronaviruses."

So can there be any doubt that the creation of Covid-19 was indeed a joint US / Chinese collaboration?

Interestingly, in March of 2020, an editorial note was added to this November 2015 report, which stated:

"We are aware that this story is being used as the basis for unverified theories that the novel coronavirus causing Covid-19 was engineered. There is no evidence that this is true. Scientists believe that an animal is the most likely source of the

coronavirus."

Do realize that they made this claim because they knew that the public had become suspicious over this report, and were simply trying to cover their tracks.

But for them to say that there is no evidence that the virus was engineered is quite laughable, since the report itself revealed this very thing when it stated:

"In November of 2015, an experiment that created a hybrid version of a bat coronavirus--one related to the virus that caused SARS…--has triggered renewed debate over whether engineering lab variants of viruses with possible pandemic potential is worth the risks."

This report, by the way, was published in *Nature* magazine on November 12, 2015, in an article entitled "Engineered Bat Virus Stirs Debate Over Risky Research." - https://www.nature.com/news/engineered-bat-virus-stirs-debate-over-risky-research-1.18787

Not only did the US government fund a Wuhan scientist in 2015 to research corona viruses from bats at Chapel Hill, but check this out…

Newsweek carried this headline on April 28, 2020:

"Dr. Fauci Backed Controversial Wuhan Lab With Millions of US Dollars for Risky Coronavirus Research"

It stated:

"…just last year, the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases, the organization led by Dr. Fauci, funded scientists at the Wuhan Institute of Virology and other institutions for work on gain-of-function research on bat coronaviruses.

"In 2019, with the backing of NIAID, the National Institutes of Health committed $3.7 million over six years for research that included some gain-of-function work. The program followed another $3.7 million, 5-year project for collecting and studying bat coronaviruses, which ended in 2019, bringing the total to $7.4 million.

"Many scientists have criticized gain of function research, which involves manipulating viruses in the lab to explore their potential for infecting humans, because it creates a risk of starting a pandemic from accidental release."

Do you smell a long-tailed, cheese-eating rodent here?

See:
https://www.newsweek.com/dr-fauci-backed-controversial-wuhan-lab-millions-us-dollars-risky-coronavirus-research-1500741

Because this revelation put Fauci in a negative spotlight, we saw articles like this soon come out from *Big League Politics* on May 6, 2020:

"Dr. Fauci Denies Culpability Following Revelation That His Agency Funded Coronavirus Bat Studies at Wuhan Institute"

Well, that should settle the matter--right?

Wrong!

These last two cited articles confirm that Covid-19 was definitely made in a lab, and that Fauci played a key role in the whole operation.

Furthermore, *Big League Politics* had this headline on May 19, 2020:

"Scientists Believe Signs of 'Human Intervention' are in Coronavirus Strain Cultured to Attack Human Cells"

It quoted from an article by LifeSiteNews.com, which stated that scientists from Flinders University in Austria had:

"…used a version of the novel coronavirus collected in the earliest days of the outbreak and applied computer models to test its capacity to bind to certain cell receptor enzymes, called 'ACE2,' that allow the virus to infect human and animal cells to varying degrees of efficacy."

Big League then went on to make these comments:

"[These scientists] discovered that the novel coronavirus most powerfully binds with human ACE2, and with variously lesser degrees of effectiveness with animal versions of the receptor. They believe this means SARS-CoV-2 'became specialized for human cell penetration by living previously in human cells, quite possibly in a laboratory.

"The study explains its conclusions by stating that 'a virus would be

expected to have highest affinity for the receptor in its original host species, e.g. bat, with a lower initial binding affinity for the receptor of any new host, e.g. humans. However, in this case, the affinity of SARS-CoV-2 is higher for humans than for the putative original host species, bats, or for any potential intermediary host species.'

"The study notes a 'possibility which still cannot be excluded is that SARSCoV-2 was created by a recombination event that occurred inadvertently or consciously in a laboratory handling coronaviruses, with the new virus then accidentally released into the local human population.'"

Accidentally…right!

Here a couple more articles about Covid-19 being manmade:

1. "'Like It Was Designed To Infect Humans': COVID-19 'Cell Culture' Theory Gains Steam," *Zero Hedge*, May 26, 2020.

2. "Norwegian scientist Birger Sorensen claims coronavirus was lab-made and 'not natural in origin,'" TechStartups.com, June 7, 2020.

There's a whole plethora of sinister reasons why the Covid-19 virus was created and released on the public, but for our purposes in this current discussion, let's just focus on the vaccine-pushing aspect, which was one of the key agendas behind it all.

And with that thought in mind, check out this headline from the "Christians for Truth" website on April 21, 2020:

"Rothschild-Funded Israeli Researchers Claim COVID-19 Is 'Here To Stay'--Only Defense Will Be A Vaccine"

Can you see how they were manipulating the public, through fear of the manufactured virus, to take the toxic and highly profitable vaccine?

Look what Bill Gates told the *Financial Times* during an interview on April 9, 2020:

"...normalcy only returns when we've largely vaccinated the entire global population." - "Transcript: Bill Gates speaks to the FT about the global fight against coronavirus."

Likewise, CNN's "Global Town Hall," on March 28, 2020, featured Anderson Cooper talking with Bill Gates and CNN's Chief Medical Correspondent Sanjay Gupta.

During the course of this interview, Gates made this shocking comment:

"We're looking at getting vaccines to everyone in the world."

Also, on April 2, 2020, CBS News interviewed the self-exalted Gates, who said that until the public is "widely vaccinated," public gatherings "might not come back at all."

Do you not see their agenda here?

But let's take note of the fact that, all the while Gates has talked about the whole world getting a Covid-19 vaccine by force, he readily admits that there will be many people who will suffer serious side effects from it.

He stated:

"If we have one in ten thousand side effects, that's, you know…700,000 people who will suffer from that…Really understanding the safety at [a] gigantic scale…it's very, very hard. That very decision…governments will have to be involved because there will be some risk and indemnification needed before that can be decided on."

In other words, government force will be required to secure his poison-injecting profiteering scheme.

His admission of there being "side effects" is an acknowledgment that he wants you to play a deadly game of Russian Roulette.

They know there will be a lot of people who will resist their poison, thus we saw articles like this:

"US was warned of threat from anti-vaxxers in event of pandemic," *The Guardian*, April 27, 2020.

The subtitle read:

“FBI-connected researchers suggested biggest threat in controlling outbreak was from ‘those who categorically reject vaccination’”

The article went on to say:

“America’s ‘anti-vaxxer movement’ would pose a threat to national security in the event of a ‘pandemic with a novel organism,’ an FBI-connected non-profit research group warned last year, just months before the global coronavirus pandemic began.

“In a research paper put out by the little-known in-house journal of InfraGard – a national security group affiliated with the FBI – experts warned the US anti-vaccine movement would also be connected with ‘social media misinformation and propaganda campaigns’ orchestrated by the Russian government.”

“Since the virus hit America, anti-vaccination activists and some sympathetic legislators around the country have led or participated in protests against stay-at-home orders designed to slow the spread of the deadly virus. More than 50,000 people have died in the US.

“On its website, InfraGard says it is an ‘FBI-affiliated nonprofit organization dedicated to strengthening national security’ with a mission to protect ‘United States critical infrastructure.” It says it consists of local chapters and that ‘an FBI special agent from each field office is assigned to serve as a private sector coordinator.’

“The paper, jointly written by a security consultant and a senior doctor in New York State’s largest hospital network, warned: ‘The biggest threat in controlling an outbreak comes from those who categorically reject vaccination.’

“The paper, entitled The Anti-Vaxxers Movement and National Security, was co-written by Dr. Mark Jarrett, the chief quality officer, senior vice-president and associate chief medical officer at Northwell Health; and Christine Sublett, a health industry-focused cybersecurity consultant.

“It lays out a pandemic scenario remarkably similar to the one now afflicting the US along with most of the world, including that ‘social distancing and isolation have impacts that include loss of manufactured goods, reduced food supply, and other disruptions to the supply chain.’

“The article then turns to the anti-vaccine movement, arguing that sufficient resistance to vaccination would hobble the chances of reaching herd immunity to a highly infectious pathogen.

“The paper also says that such movements have received a boost in recent years due to their ‘alignment with other conspiracy movements including the far right…and social media misinformation and propaganda campaigns by many

foreign and domestic actors. Included among these actors is the Internet Research Agency, the Russian government–aligned organization.'

"Ben Harris-Roxas at the University of New South Wales, an expert on public health, endorsed the epidemiological reasoning in the paper.

"'Vaccine hesitancy represents a significant threat--not just for any Covid-19 vaccine that might be developed, but also to measures that might assist people and health services now, such as people getting flu vaccinations,' he said.

"Others expressed concerns about the implications of a paper defining a specific group as a national security threat being published under the imprimatur of the FBI.

"Michael German, a Brennan Center fellow and former FBI agent and whistleblower, said he was worried about the unintended consequences of defining a group as a national security threat based on their beliefs, and how that might feed into both policy and law enforcement decisions.

"'You can imagine some young police officer who's trying to do a good job protecting his or her community. And all of a sudden he's told that anti-vaxxers are Russian agents.'

"German added that "the lack of proper government preparation and stockpiles of medical materials to respond to a pandemic was a much more serious problem than the influence of a relatively small group of anti-vaxxers could ever be, but it is hard to argue with the need for a science-based policy approach".

"InfraGard has been criticized by civil liberties groups from its origins as a security national entity and links to the FBI.

"An FBI spokesperson said: 'InfraGard is a non-profit organization serving as a public-private partnership among US businesses, individuals, and the FBI.'

"The spokesperson added, 'It is important to distinguish among the statements, views, and comments made by official FBI representatives and InfraGard Members,' and declined further comment.

"InfraGard Journal's editor, Dr. Ryan Williams, said in a telephone conversation that the journal was peer-reviewed, but received an additional layer of oversight from InfraGard's board, which includes senior FBI officials and representatives from other partner groups.

"Dr. Jarrett said the paper had been inspired by the experience of the measles outbreak of early 2019, and its predictions were being borne out in the current crisis.

"'Take the pandemic now,' he said. 'If they come out with a vaccine and you have 15% of people saying, I don't want to take it, I don't believe in it, it's going to cause harm,' you're never going to get up to the level of herd immunity to really shut off the process.'"

What profound propaganda!

As it turns out, there is a great deal of evidence that the common flu shot was the real cause of the high mortality rates for seniors during the corona virus outbreak.

The *Gateway Pundit* reported on May 13, 2020:

"Niall McCrae and David Kurten: EU Numbers Show Correlation Between Flu Vaccine and Coronavirus Deaths"

This article stated:

"…a factor that hasn't been considered is the flu vaccine, which is widely administered to the elderly. Some correlation with Covid-19 mortality, although not necessarily causal, is readily apparent. The medical establishment tends to cast any critic of vaccination as an extremist, but we are not 'anti-vaxxers.' We present our case tentatively, and leave it to readers to decide whether this is a reasonable line of enquiry…

"…pandemics have been regularly exploited by the pro-vaccine lobby, which has a hold on the medical establishment, to demand wider use of the flu shot.

"A challenge for flu vaccine producers is the volatility of the virus, which mutates rapidly. A new vaccine is needed every autumn, based on guessing which strains will emerge. In practice, the preventive performance is poor. A review in 2014 by the Cochrane Collaboration, an international body for evidence-based medicine, revealed that the vaccines reduced incidence of influenza by a mere 6%. Tom Jefferson, one of the authors, has described evidence for flu vaccination as 'rubbish.'

"Jefferson was also involved in a controversy over Tamiflu. This drug was stockpiled by governments, after a Cochrane review showing that it reduced complications of influenza. When it transpired that most of the studies were sponsored by the manufacturer, Jefferson sought the original data but Roche refused. A subsequent Cochrane review did not replicate the impressive findings, and much public money was wasted on a highly profitable but ineffectual treatment. Needing to be seen to do something, governments are not helped by guidance that should be scientifically objective but is prone to commercial influence….

"Covid-19 is a coronavirus, thus not covered by flu vaccines. It has been suggested, though, that the flu jab may lower immunity to other upper respiratory tract infections. In the BMJ, paediatrician Alan Cunningham wrote:

"'Such an observation may seem counter-intuitive, but it is possible that influenza vaccines alter our immune systems non-specifically to increase susceptibility to other infections; this has been observed with DTP and other vaccines.'…

"Despite some contrary cases, it is interesting that the countries with highest death rates (Belgium, Spain, Italy, UK, France, Netherlands, Sweden, Ireland and USA) had all vaccinated at least half of their elderly population against flu. Denmark and Germany, with lower use of the flu vaccine, have considerably lower Covid-19 mortality….

"Vaccines may cause new resistant strains of viruses to develop, through natural selection. As reported in BMC Medicine by Alehouse and Scarpino, whooping cough outbreaks have infected vaccinated as well as unvaccinated people. Mandating of the chickenpox vaccine in the USA appears to have weakened the immunity gained from the naturally-acquired disease; a review by Goldman and King in Vaccine journal showed increasing incidence of shingles. Studies (e.g. Skowronski et al, 2010) indicated that people receiving the flu vaccine in one year were more likely to contract the H1N1 strain in the following year.

"… vaccines have become sacred cows and an unholy alliance between Big Pharma, regulators and the medical orthodoxy is inclined to dismiss the question rather than help to answer it….

"In 2018 leading medical scientist Peter Gøtzsche was fired by the Cochrane Collaboration after he exposed bias in a review of the vaccine for human papilloma virus, which understated adverse effects. Just as we should be wary of anti-vaccine fundamentalists, Gøtzsche warns that 'we cannot trust our drug regulators, which allow far too many dangerous drugs on to the market and are very slow to take them off again when the evidence for their lethal effects accumulates.'

"Gøtzsche was accused of endangering millions of women by deterring vaccine uptake. In a similarly denouncing tone, British health secretary Matt Hancock stated:

"'Those who campaign against vaccination are campaigning against science. The science is settled…Those who have promoted the anti-vaccination myth are morally reprehensible, deeply irresponsible and have blood on their hands.'

"These words do not represent a scientific attitude at all. Science is rarely 'settled' (the same westernization of language is used by climate change alarmists), certainly not in an area as complex as immunology.…"

Regarding President Trump's push for a corona virus vaccine, CNBC had this headline on May 14, 2020:

“Trump to name former pharma exec Moncef Slaoui as vaccine czar”

No conflict of interest there!

But speaking of this Trump-appointed pharmaceutical czar, RT.com had this headline on May 16, 2020:

“Trump’s WARP SPEED vaccine czar oversaw an infamously BOTCHED vaccination. Wonder why a THIRD of Americans want to dodge this one?”

It stated:

“…GlaxoSmithKline vaccine chairman Moncef Slaoui was appointed by Trump on Thursday to run the task force his administration is calling Operation Warp Speed….

“As chief of vaccines at GSK, Slaoui oversaw the development of the disastrous Pandemrix vaccine for swine flu, a shot that was rushed to market without proper testing in the midst of a 2009 epidemic, during which public health officials were shrieking about enormous death tolls that never materialized, with some claiming the death toll would rival the 1918 influenza pandemic (sound familiar?).

“The result of the hasty approval process was an unsafe, ineffective shot that left over a thousand recipients permanently brain-damaged, some 80 percent of them children. Forty percent of NHS staffers were vaccinated under false pretenses, told the shot was safe and effective. The UK government was forced to pay out millions of pounds in compensation, as GSK had refused to supply the drug to governments until it was indemnified against lawsuits….”

Additionally, the *Daily Beast* reported on May 15, 2020:

“Trump’s Vaccine Czar Holds Millions in Stock Options at Company That Got Federal Funding for COVID-19 Work”

So how does Trump plan to enforce vaccinations on Americans?

On May 14, 2020, on Fox News, with the headline “Pres. Trump: ‘We Want to Get Back to Seeing Baseball, Basketball, and Football Games,’” Trump was shown making these statements:

“I think we’re going to have a vaccine by the end of the year. We’re doing very well with the vaccine. And I will tell you something, I just literally left a meeting--we’re mobilizing our military and other forces, but we’re mobilizing our military on the basis that we do have a vaccine. You know, it’s a massive job to give this vaccine. Our military is now being mobilized. So, at the end of the year we’re going to be able to give it to a lot of people very, very rapidly.”

Very, very scary.

This is pure tyranny!

So now we know why Trump called 1 million reserves back into service, for up to two years.

Here is a similar article along this line, from *The Gateway Pundit*, dated May 15, 2020:

“WTH? Will This Be Mandatory?--General Perna Announces Plans for Health Dept. and Defense Dept. to Deliver Coronavirus Vaccine”

The subtitle read:

“The Trump White House today announced plans for the Department of Health and the Department of Defense to team up and deliver a vaccine for the corona virus”

Of course, before using outright military force, they hope to be successful with coercion to get people to submit to a mass vaccination policy.

Thus the National Biodefense Science Board produced a report on May 13, 2020, entitled “Medical Countermeasure Research and Development Goals to Prevent Infectious Disease Epidemics,” which stated under Recommendation #9:

“Establish a task force to work to seek to leverage and amplify ongoing work--for example CDC's ‘Vaccinate with Confidence’ effort develop a national strategy to reduce ‘vaccine hesitancy,’ with additional focus on anticipating acceptance of a novel drug or vaccine in the event of a major infectious disease threat.”

In other words, they want to do whatever it takes--obviously resorting to deception if necessary--to get people to submit to the Covid vaccine agenda.

Keep in mind that Trump, who intends to force vaccines on Americans, doesn't get them himself.

During a 2015 interview for the Opie & Jim Norton radio show, Trump made these remarks:

"I've never had one. And thus far I've never had the flu. I don't like the idea of injecting bad stuff into your body. I have friends that religiously get the flu shot, and then they get the flu. I've seen a lot of reports that the last flu shot is virtually, totally ineffective." - "Did President Donald Trump Get the Flu Shot?," *Time*, January 10, 2018.

Incidentally, forced vaccination--make no mistake about it--constitutes assault & battery, which is defined as "the crime of threatening a person together with the act of making physical contact with them."

Therefore, if nothing else, you should at least be able to sue a doctor or military personnel for assault & battery if they force you to take a vaccine against your will, for Covid-19 or otherwise.

Not only that, but the Fourth Amendment guarantees:

"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, [which] shall not be violated."

Yet, are we not violated when they push vaccines on us?

Is this not a denial of our Fourth Amendment rights and against the right to "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness"?

Yes indeed.

Just look at what Chief Justice John Marshall declared in 1803, in Marbury v. Madison:

"For a secondary 'law' to come into conflict with the supreme law [the Constitution] is totally illogical, for certainly the supreme law would prevail over all other law, and certainly our forefathers had intended that the supreme law would be the basis of all law, and for any law to come into conflict with the supreme law is null and void at law. It would bear no power to enforce, it would

bear no obligation to obey, it would report to settle as if it never existed, for unconstitutionality would date from the enactment of such a law, not from the date so granted in an open court of law. No courts are bound to uphold it, no citizens are bound to obey it. It operates as a mere nullity of fiction, which means it does not exist in law."

The truth is, according to the Tenth Amendment, the federal government does not have ANY authority to legislate vaccines at all.

It states:

"The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited to it by the states are reserved to the states respectively or to the people."

Furthermore, Marshall v. Kansas City states:

"Police power is subject to limitations of the federal and state constitutions, and especially to the requirement of due process."

In addition to this, according to Title 5, USC Section 556 (d), 557, and Section 706, if you are denied due process of law in any way, all jurisdiction ceases automatically.

Also, the *American Jurisprudence* (which is used by judges to instruct them on how to interpret the Constitution), Volume 16: "Constitutional Law," Section 97, states:

"That the Constitution should receive a liberal interpretation in favor of the citizen is especially true with respect to those provisions which were designed to safeguard the liberty and security of the citizen in regard to both person and property…"

Section 98 further declares:

"…no emergency justifies the violation of any of the provisions of the United States Constitution or state constitutions…"

Section 155 additionally proclaims:

"The courts are not at liberty to overlook or disregard the constitutional demands.

If the Constitution prescribes one rule and the statute another, and a different rule, it is the duty of the courts to declare that the Constitution and not the statute governs in cases before them for judgment."

Finally, Sections 255 and 256 state:

"An unconstitutional law is null and wholly void…from the date of enactment. No repeal of these unconstitutional laws are necessary. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law."

And look at what the Nuremberg Code says:

"1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person [child or adult] involved should have legal capacity to give consent."

"4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury."

"5. No experiment should be conducted where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur…"

"6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment."

And not that UNESCO is anything to be admired, but nevertheless, in 2005 it established an agreement (the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights), which the US signed, which upholds the right of voluntary and informed consent prior to any medical intervention, which includes, specifically, vaccinations.

Anyway, back to our discussion on the Covid-19 vaccine…

On May 12, 2020, Anthony Fauci, the head of President Trump's coronavirus task force, stated before a US Senate Committee hearing:

"…there's no guarantee that an injection's actually going to be effective. Additionally, because there are multiple strains of Covid-19, one injection may not be able to cover them all. So plan on multiple injections."

Multiple injections?

One of the main intended outcomes of the Covid-19 vaccine might be to render the recipient docile and compliant.

Such a technology has actually been in the works for some time.

For example, the WantToKnow.info website carried an article called "FunVax: Fundamentalist Vaccine," which stated:

"The Pentagon may vaccinate large populations in the Middle East with what is being called FunVax--a fundamentalist vaccine. As explained by Pentagon researchers, the FunVax uses an airborne virus to indiscriminately infect populations considered high risk for religious fundamentalism. The virus in this vaccine purportedly has been tested and shown to reduce fundamentalism and religiosity in all who are infected by damaging what is called the 'God gene.'"

A Pentagon report on this vaccine (Project ID: 149AZ2), dated June 1, 2007, stated:

"In non-conflict zones, effectiveness [of the fundamentalist vaccine] could be measured by a decrease in the people attending religious activities."

But it goes way beyond curtailing religious activities--its real aim is to eliminate resistance to authority.

Could the coming Covid-19 vaccine be, among other things, a global experiment with this brain-manipulating virus?

This same Pentagon report went on to say:

"Of the 600 variants of Vesicular Stomatitiis Virus tested, VSV287 had the greatest decrease of VMAT2 within mice. However, this may not be the case for human subjects. All 600 strains of VSV should be retested on human subjects in the clinical group."

What human subjects?

At any rate, as people have been growing more suspicious of the coming corona virus vaccine, especially when it is being funded so heavily by Bill Gates and

being developed at such an alarmingly fast rate, look at some of the propaganda that has come out:

Business Insider had this headline on May 23, 2020:

“Germany is at the forefront of a global movement of anti-vaxxers obsessed with Bill Gates and it could mean the coronavirus is never defeated”

Not only is this article suggesting that the ONLY way to fight Covid-19 is through a toxic virus, but that those who resist the vaccine are a threat to society.

And sadly, many people fall for this type of obvious mind control propaganda.

The truth is, the best way to fight this, or any other virus, is to eat healthy, exercise, and then let the immune system do its job from there.

One of the scariest things about the Covid-19 vaccine is that it was being pushed, as Trump put it, at “warp speed” without going through years of clinical trials (which don’t matter anyway, because vaccines are still unsafe even with the trials).

But nevertheless, the fact that the Covid-19 vaccine has been pushed through so rapidly is another big reason to want to completely avoid getting it.

Just look at what Bill Gates said during an April 12, 2020 BBC interview, after being asked when the Covid vaccine would be available:

“People like myself and Tony Fauci are saying 18 months. If everything went perfectly, we could do slightly better than that. But there will be a trade-off, We'll have less safety testing than we typically would have. And so governments will have to decide, you know, do they indemnify the companies and really say let's go out with this, uh, when we just don't have the time to do what we normally do?...You can run into safety issues. So we're gonna have to take something that usually takes 5 or 6 years and get it done in 18 months. If you want to wait and see if a side effect shows up 2 years later, uh, that takes 2 years.”

Did you catch that?

But Gates was wanting the Covid vaccine to be ram-rodded through without testing.

He didn't care what the side effects were going to be.

But here's another jaw-dropper about the Covid vaccine that they want to force on us:

On May 22, 2020, *The Hill* carried an article called: "Fauci on Why a Vaccine by End of Year is "Aspirational.'"

In it, Fauci was quoted as saying:

"...even if you do everything right and you do everything on time, there's no guarantee you're going to have an effective vaccine. So when we talk about having a vaccine that might be available in December or January, that's assuming that the vaccine is actually effective."

So they admit that it's going to be both potentially harmful and that it might not even be effective, yet they are still wanting to force it on people!

Rest assured that this vaccine has nothing to do with public health.

Quite to the contray, as a matter of fact.

Salon summed the whole matter up nicely in its November 27, 2020 article, "Our Worst Pre-existing Condition," saying:

"Before Covid, the headlines you might read about the pharmaceutical industry tended towards corporate malfeasance--violations of the Foreign Practices Corruption Act, insider trading, abusive mass marketing of opioids or predatory pricing, that sort of thing. Few think of such a notoriously manipulative industry as heralding medical breakthroughs.

"But…[now] we are being told by the corporate news media [that] these same companies are going to save life on the planet [through the Covid vaccine]…"

We sort of jumped ahead here with our discussion of the Covid-19 vaccine, so let us return to our previous discussion of the scandalous history of vaccine pushers.

==========

Robert Wood Johnson gets involved in 1991

In 1991, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (headed up at the time by Steve Schroeder) created ALL KIDS COUNT, a national program to set up electronic vaccination registry and tracking systems to monitor and follow-up on pre-school children, in order to enforce mass vaccination.

Grants totaling $9 million were given to 20 cities to set up vaccine tracking systems.

ALL KIDS COUNT is headquartered at the Task Force for Child Survival and Development, at The Carter Center in Atlanta, from which former President Jimmy Carter worked with federal health officials to implement government "public health" initiatives, both here and abroad.

That same year, EVERY CHILD BY TWO was co-founded by Betty Bumpers and former First Lady Rosalyn Carter.

Who is Betty Bumpers?

She is the wife of Dale Bumpers, who served as Governor of Arkansas in the 1970s.

In 1973, he and Betty enlisted the help of the media and the CDC, and then called out the Arkansas National Guard to vaccinate every child in Arkansas.

And all because they were "concerned about the children," of course.

Anyway, EVERY CHILD BY TWO is a national campaign to set up mechanisms to vaccinate children with all government-endorsed vaccines by age two, and is funded, in part, by grants from vaccine manufacturers Merck, Lederle (now Wyeth), and Connaught.

Anyway, 1991 was also the year that the CDC recommended that all newborns be given hepatitis B vaccinations at birth, before they leave the newborn nursery, even though only about 21,000 cases of hepatitis B were reported to the CDC in all age groups in 1990, and even though hepatitis B is an adult disease spread through infected blood (usually through sexual intercourse).

How outrageous!

Although hepatitis B is primarily confined to adult high-risk groups, such as I.V. drug users and persons with multiple sexual partners, by 1999, 42 state health departments had added three doses of hepatitis B vaccine to the mandatory vaccination list for all children attending grade school and high school.

Between July 1, 1990 and October 31, 1998, there were 24,775 reports of hepatitis B vaccine-related adverse events reports to the government, including 9,673 serious adverse events and 439 deaths.

During the same time period, there was a total of 2,424 adverse event reports, with 1,209 serious events and 73 deaths in children under age 14 who got hepatitis B vaccine alone, without any other vaccines.

This means that one out of two case reports of health problems following hepatitis B vaccination in children ends with a trip to a hospital emergency room, a life-threatening condition, a lengthy hospitalization, or permanent disability.

But coming back to the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and its push for a national vaccine registry and tracking system, this is the exact same scenario introduced for the Covid-19 vaccine.

KCTV5.com carried this article on December 3, 2020:

"Health Officials: Covid-19 Vaccination Card Will Be Issued To Everyone Who Gets Vaccine"

And guess what…

There has been a lot of talk that, for those who don't have such cards, they may be denied employment or even the ability to go grocery shopping.

This is pure evil.

==========

The Clinton administration, the National ID, and electronic tracking systems

The national vaccine tracking system of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation was

not the first time a mechanism like this was proposed.

Back in 1973 the Children's Defense Fund (CDF) was founded, with one of its main goals having been the registering and monitoring all children in a national computerized vaccination tracking system.

This goal was later heavily supported by the Clinton administration, as part of its suggested national healthcare plan.

Hillary Clinton, specifically, moved to play a key role in the Health Care Task Force, to restructure US healthcare with a plan to tag every citizen with a Unique Health Care Identifier Number, and to record and track everyone's vaccination status and personal health information from birth to death, in a government-operated electronic database.

Although public opposition to the Unique Health Care Identifier Number, National ID "smartcard," and a medical records tracking system eventually scuttled Hillary's Health Care Plan, on April 1, 1993, Senators Ted Kennedy and Don Riegle, along with Congressman Henry Waxman, introduced "The Comprehensive Child Immunization Act."

A key provision in this bill directed HHS Secretary Donna Shalala to "establish a national system to track the immunization status of children."

Under this bill, information obtained on citizens could be used by government health officials, and could be disclosed to other third parties, without the consent of the individual, or parent, or guardian.

The price tag to set up the electronic surveillance database, which would track citizen's movements from state to state, was $1.1 billion.

Due to much opposition, the national vaccine tracking system was eliminated, but language was inserted authorizing $417 million in appropriations to HHS, so that Shalala could work with state health officials to establish a national network of "state registry systems to monitor the immunization status of all children."

The law which passed gave Shalala authority to award federal grants to states to set up vaccine tracking systems, and money to reward states between $50 and $100 per fully immunized child, with the dollar figure determined by the total percentage of children fully vaccinated in the state.

Obviously, the agenda behind all of this goes way beyond corporate profits.

It’s also about tracking people, and ensuring that they get injected with whatever toxins the elitists want them to have in their systems.

Of course, since the Clinton days there has been the Bush Jr.-orchestrated Real ID Act and the Bill Gates-proposed “ID2020,” to track who has and who has not been immunized.

They had been pushing this agenda for decades under various umbrellas.

But with Covid, it looks like it’s unfortunately becoming a reality.

And this is not just something they want implemented in the US, but globally.

For example, CNN’s Global Town Hall, on March 28, 2020, featured Anderson Cooper talking with Bill Gates and CNN’s Chief Medical Correspondent Sanjay Gupta, during which time Gates made this comment:

“We’re looking at getting vaccines to everyone in the world.”

And if that doesn't make your hair stand on end, hopefully this will...

On April 2, 2020, ScienceMag.org reported:

“United States wants to end most payouts for leading vaccination-related injury”

Regarding the role of Bill Gates in pushing so heavily for vaccinations, this next headline ought to boil your blood:

“Bill Gates’ Former Doctor Says Billionaire ‘Refused to Vaccinate His Children,’” Locks News Network, February 7, 2018.

==========

The National (and International) Vaccine Plan begins to take shape

In 1994, they were at it again.

In that year, the Department of Health and Human Services published “The National Vaccine Plan,” which was a strategic plan for vaccinating every American child with all existing and future government-recommended vaccines, and for positioning the US mass vaccination program within the context of a global mass vaccination scheme.

The Plan emphasized that the US is a co-sponsor of the Children’s Vaccine Initiative (CVI), launched at the World Summit for Children in 1990 in New York City, to vaccinate all the world’s children with existing and future vaccines developed by drug companies.

In addition to the vaccine manufacturers, funding for CVI is provided by the United Nation’s Children’s Fund (UNICEF), the United Nation’s Development Program (UNDP), the Rockefeller Foundation, the World Bank, and the World Health Organization (WHO).

Now doesn’t that make you just tickle all over inside, to think of how concerned these scoundrelous organizations are about your kids’ health?

Do you see where all this is ultimately coming from, and where it’s all leading?

==========

Shalala just didn’t quit

On March 9, 1995, Shalala published a notice in the Federal Register of the intent to establish a new routine use of the Social Security number.

It permitted the Social Security Administration to disclose the Social Security number of a newborn to state health department officials for public health programs, including, but not limited to, establishing public immunization registries with the goal of operating a national network of coordinated statewide immunization registries.

This new routine use of the Social Security number also permits HHS to disclose information about individuals, without their consent, if the information is for the purpose of administering a government public health program, or for conducting medical research.

==========

Draconian enforcement tactics

After a policeman pulled him over for driving his mother's van with expired license plates, a Milwaukee teenager was handcuffed, stripped, and jailed overnight in April of 1996, when police discovered he had failed to show public school or county health officials proof that he had gotten a second MMR shot.

The busy mother of Jacob Kallas had ignored repeated court orders to provide her son's school with proof of vaccination.

At this same time, parents around the country began being charged with child medical neglect for failing to vaccinate their children with all government-recommended vaccines.

Since 1982, six states have abolished philosophical or personal belief exemptions to vaccination, leaving only 15 states with this right.

All states still provide for medical exemption (which must be written by an M.D. or D.O.), and all but two allow an exemption for sincerely held religious beliefs.

However, in July of 1999, CDC officials mounted an assault to counter parent-led informed consent legislative initiatives in Texas, Illinois, New Jersey, Massachusetts and other states in the past several years.

An article was published in the *Journal of the American Medical Association*, criticizing parents who claim philosophical or religious exemptions to vaccination for their children.

Attaching a new label to those who take exemptions to vaccination ("exemptors"), the article cited federal health officials who said:

"Persons who claim philosophical and/or religious exemptions may create some risk to the community, because unvaccinated or undervaccinated persons may be a source of transmission....Exemptors also pose a social equity issue."

This is nothing but a fear tactic to gain compliance with their sleazy fascist agenda.

Anyway, addressing the issue of religious exemption to vaccination, CDC officials suggested that more stringent screening standards for proving the quality and

sincerity of religious beliefs must be applied when government officials review a religious exemption to vaccination, for acceptability.

Some states require an unequivocal statement from a religious leader that immunization conflicts with the person's religious belief.

This type of requirement for an exemption essentially assesses the strength of conviction of the individual applying for an exemption, similar to Selective Service boards assessing exemptions from the military draft.

Other states grant exemption based on a form signed by parents, indicating that immunizations are against the individual's personal belief.

In these states, efforts may not be made to assess strength of conviction.

The article concluded by signaling that government health officials will be taking an even more aggressive and intrusive approach to forcing vaccination in the future, employing "interventions" to persuade exemptors to become vaccinators.

It must be stressed, once again, that the push by these monsters for mandatory vaccination has absolutely nothing to do with concern for public health.

If you believe that, then you are dangerously naïve--a danger that might very likely lead to your doom.

==========

Compulsory and coercion vaccinations--problems right from the start

Since the late 19th century, when compulsory vaccinations first began, major problems have arisen.

For example, the cowpox vaccine was believed to be able to immunize people against smallpox.

Interestingly, at the time this vaccine was introduced, there was already a decline in the number of cases of smallpox.

Anyway, Japan introduced compulsory vaccination in 1872.

In 1892, just 20 years later, there were 165,774 cases of smallpox with 29,979 deaths, despite the vaccination program.

A stringent compulsory smallpox vaccine program, which prosecuted those refusing the vaccine, was instituted in England, in 1867.

Within 4 years, 97.5 % of persons between 2 and 50 had been vaccinated.

The following year, England experienced the worst smallpox epidemic in its history, with 44,840 deaths.

Between 1871 and 1880, the incidence of smallpox escalated from 28 to 46 per 100,000.

Obviously the smallpox vaccine was either ineffective or it was an outright cause of a significant increase in smallpox cases.

Dr. William F. Koch, in his 1961 book *The Survival Factor in Neoplastic and Viral Diseases*, wrote:

"In 1918, the US Army forced the vaccination of 3,285,376 natives in the Philippines when no epidemic was brewing, only the sporadic cases of the usual mild nature. Of the vaccinated persons, 47, 369 came down with the smallpox, and of these, 16,477 died. In 1919 the experiment was doubled. 7,670,252 natives were vaccinated. Of these 65,180 victims came down with smallpox, and 44,408 died. In the first experiment, one-third died, and in the second, two-thirds of the infected ones died."

In the *Congressional Record* of December 21, 1936, William Howard Hay was quoted as giving this testimony:

"The Philippines suffered the worst attack of smallpox, the worst epidemic three times over, that had ever occurred in the history of the islands and it was almost three times as fatal. The death rate ran as high as 60% in certain areas where formerly it had been 10-15%."

And this was all thanks to vaccinations.

But let it be emphasized that today's vaccine track record is no better.

But what about all the claims of vaccines having eradicated diseases like polio?

Well, much of these "success" stories may actually have been more the result of improvements in public health over the years, which itself has been the result of better water quality and sanitation, less crowded living conditions, better nutrition, and higher standards of living.

Here are some more damning compulsory vaccine statistics:

Since the polio vaccine was just mentioned, let's begin with that.

An article in the *Washington Post* on January 26, 1988, mentioned that all cases of polio since 1979 had been caused by the polio vaccine, with no known cases of polio from a wild strain since 1979.

This should have created a perfect opportunity to discontinue the vaccine, but the vaccine is still being administered.

By the way, in 1972, Jonas Salk, the famous polio vaccine inventor, testified before a Senate Committee hearing that nearly all polio outbreaks since 1961 resulted from, or were caused by, the oral polio vaccine.

Since we're on the topic of polio vaccine failures, we simply must mention the 1955 Cutter Incident, in which the polio vaccine maker, Cutter Laboratories, had caused 20,000 cases of polio.

Speaking on this matter, Michael Fitzpatrick stated in the article "The Cutter Incident: How America's First Polio Vaccine Led to a Growing Vaccine Crisis," which appeared in a 2006 edition of the *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*:

"In April 1955 more than 200,000 children in five Western and mid-Western USA states received a polio vaccine in which the process of inactivating the live virus proved to be defective. Within days there were reports of paralysis and within a month the first mass vaccination program against polio had to be abandoned. Subsequent investigations revealed that the vaccine, manufactured by the California-based family firm of Cutter Laboratories, had caused 40,000 cases of polio, leaving 200 children with varying degrees of paralysis and killing 10."

Here's one more damning polio vaccine statistic…

In 2013, the CDC produced a document entitled "Simian Virus 40 (SV40), and Polio Vaccine Fact Sheet," which stated:

"SV40 is a virus found in some species of monkey….SV40 was discovered in 1960. Soon afterward, the virus was found in polio vaccine….More than 98 million Americans received one or more doses of polio vaccine from 1955 to 1963 when a proportion of [the] vaccine was contaminated with SV40; it has been estimated that 10-30 million Americans could have received an SV40-contaminated dose of vaccine….SV40 has been found in certain types of cancer in humans."

Measles outbreaks have occurred in schools with vaccination rates over 98% in all parts of the U.S., including areas that had reported no cases of measles for years.

As measles immunization rates rise to high levels, measles becomes a disease seen only in vaccinated persons.

Outbreaks of measles have actually occurred in schools where 100% of the children had been vaccinated.

Measles mortality rates had declined by 97% in England before measles vaccination was instituted.

In 1986, there were 1,300 cases of pertussis in Kansas, and 90% of these cases occurred in children who had been adequately vaccinated.

Similar vaccine failures have been reported from Nova Scotia, where pertussis continues to occur despite universal vaccination.

Pertussis remains endemic in the Netherlands, where for more than 20 years 96% of the children there have received 3 pertussis shots by the age of 12 months.

And nothing has changed in more recent years.

Today the number one cause of Whooping cough, or pertussis, is the pertussis vaccine.

Christopher J. Gill, associate professor of global health at Boston University, stated:

“This disease is back because we didn’t really understand how our immune defenses against whooping cough worked, and did not understand how the vaccines needed to work to prevent it. Instead, we layered assumptions upon assumptions, and now find ourselves in the uncomfortable position of admitting that we made some crucial errors. This is definitely not where we thought we’d be in 2017.” - BU.edu, “Resurgence of Whooping Cough May Owe to Vaccine’s Inability to Prevent Infections,” September 21, 2017.

Anyway, after introducing the compulsory diphtheria vaccination in England and Wales, in 1894, the number of deaths from diphtheria rose by 20% in the subsequent 15 years.

Germany had compulsory vaccination in 1939.

The rate of diphtheria spiraled to 150,000 cases that year, whereas Norway, which did not have compulsory vaccination, had only 50 cases of diphtheria during the same year.

The continued presence of these infectious diseases in children who have received vaccines proves that life-long immunity, which follows natural infection, does not occur in persons receiving vaccines.

The injection process places the viral particles into the blood, without providing any clear way to eliminate these foreign substances, and so the immune system becomes compromised, and not enhanced like they would have you believe.

The problems just go on and on.

Anyway, the steady escalation in the number of vaccines administered has been followed by an identical rise in the incidence of auto-immune diseases in children, such as rheumatoid arthritis, subacute lupus erythematosus, psoriasis, multiple sclerosis and asthma.

While there is a genetic transmission of some of these diseases, many are probably due to the injury from foreign protein particles, mercury, aluminum, formaldehyde and other toxic agents injected in vaccines.

In 1999, the rotavirus vaccine was recommended by the CDC for all infants.

When this vaccine program was instituted, several infants died and many more had

developed life-endangering bowel obstructions.

Prelicensure trials of the rotavirus vaccine had demonstrated an increased incidence of intussusception 30 times greater than normal, but the vaccine was released anyway, without special warnings to practitioners to be on the lookout for bowel problems.

Children's vaccines are often not studied for toxicity, most likely because such studies might eliminate them from being used.

A large study from Australia showed that the risk of developing encephalitis from the pertussis vaccine was 5 times greater than the risk of developing encephalitis by contacting pertussis by natural methods.

And these are only a small handful of such statistics.

If you get nothing else from reading this document, I hope you at least have come to realize that you cannot trust either the pharmaceutical companies or the government, which amount to the fox guarding the hen house.

==========

One of their favorite coercion tactics

One particular favorite tactic that the government tries to use to con people into getting vaccinated is an old 1905 law, which they claim gives them legal precedent to mandate vaccines.

It resulted from the 1905 Jacobson vs. Massachusetts case--197 U.S. 11 (1905).

This case involved a Supreme Court litigation filed by Henning Jacobson who argued that he and his son had both suffered serious side effects from a smallpox vaccine and should therefore not be forced to get any further shots.

The Supreme Court ruled in favor of the state's right to impose such orders, and that individual rights in such matters are not absolute.

But this ruling was a sham for many reasons.

For example, it states:

“A common belief, like common knowledge, does not require evidence to establish its existence, but may be acted upon without proof by the legislature and the courts. The fact that the belief is not universal is not controlling, for there is scarcely any belief that is accepted by everyone. The possibility that the belief may be wrong, and that science may yet show it to be wrong, is not conclusive...for what the people believe is for the common welfare must be accepted as tending to promote the common welfare, whether it does in fact or not.”

Common belief?

Please note that this ruling said NOTHING about proven science.

This is NOT a legitimate court ruling by any means.

There absolutely is no way that a person can be compelled to accept a potentially deadly or health-destroying toxic injection based on “common belief.”

But there’s more...

The ruling confirmed its shaky position by further stating that if individuals like Jacobson were able to get exempted from vaccination, it would mean that:

“Compulsory vaccination could not, in any conceivable case, be legally enforced in a community, even at the command of the legislature, however widespread the epidemic of smallpox; and however deep and universal was the belief of the community and its medical advisors that a system of general vaccination was vital to the safety of all.”

The Supreme Court confirmed here that “universal belief” was the basis for its decision, having NOTHING to do with hard science, or science at all.

This ruling was illegitimate--end of story.

In confirmation of this point, in 2005, professors of law and bioethics at Boston University (W.K. Mariner, G.J. Annas, and L.H. Glantz) wrote about how Jacobson v Massachusetts was no longer relevant, saying:

“Jacobson was decided in 1905, when infectious diseases were the leading cause of death [and when] Few weapons existed to combat epidemics....Preserving the

public's health in the 21st century requires preserving respect for personal liberty....Public health programs that are based on force are a relic of the 19th century; 21st-century public health depends on good science, good communication, and trust in public health officials to tell the truth."

The judges in the Jacobson case had declared that:

"The matured opinions of medical men everywhere, and the experience of mankind, as all must know, negative [voids] the suggestion that it is not possible in any case to determine whether vaccination is safe."

In other words, the Supreme Court was suggesting that the opinions, or "universal beliefs," of medical men who supported vaccination were infallible and entirely trustworthy.

But nothing could be further from the truth.

In fact, a great many medical practitioners are just as ignorant about the hazards of vaccines as the general public.

Or, worse yet (and more commonly the case), they are nothing but paid off shills for big pharma.

Anyway, interestingly enough, in 1909, just 4 years after the Jacobson case, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts introduced Bill #8, "An Act to Prohibit Compulsory Vaccine."

It stated, in Section 1:

"It shall be unlawful for any board of education, board of health, or any public board acting in this state, under political regulations or otherwise, to compel by resolution, order or proceedings of any kind, the vaccination of any child or person of any age, by making vaccination a condition precedent to the attending of any public or private school, either as pupil or teacher."

So out the window goes the 1905 Jacobson vs. Massachusetts argument to justify mandatory vaccinations.

==========

A brief, morbid history of Bill Gates-imposed vaccines worldwide

In response to a Bill Gates comment that Americans would not be able to return to work until we have "a vaccine that we've gotten out to basically the entire world," Robert F. Kennedy Jr. posted this indictment against Gates on Instagram, on April 9, 2020:

"Vaccines, for Bill Gates, are a strategic philanthropy that feed his many vaccine-related businesses (including Microsoft's ambition to control a global vac ID enterprise) and give him dictatorial control over global health policy--the spear tip of corporate neo-imperialism.

"Gates' obsession with vaccines seems fueled by a messianic conviction that he is ordained to save the world with technology and a god-like willingness to experiment with the lives of lesser humans.

"Promising to eradicate Polio with $1.2 billion, Gates took control of India's National Advisory Board (NAB) and mandated 50 polio vaccines (up from 5) to every child before age 5. Indian doctors blame the Gates campaign for a devastating vaccine-strain polio epidemic that paralyzed 496,000 children between 2000 and 2017. In 2017, the Indian Government dialed back Gates' vaccine regimen and evicted Gates and his cronies from the NAB. Polio paralysis rates dropped precipitously.

"In 2017, the World Health Organization reluctantly admitted that the global polio explosion is predominantly vaccine strain, meaning it is coming from Gates' Vaccine Program. The most frightening epidemics in Congo, the Philippines, and Afghanistan are all linked to Gates' vaccines. By 2018, ¾ of global polio cases were from Gates' vaccines.

"In 2014, the Gates Foundation funded tests of experimental HPV vaccines, developed by GSK and Merck, on 23,000 young girls in remote Indian provinces. Approximately 1,200 suffered severe side effects, including autoimmune and fertility disorders. Seven died. Indian government investigations charged that Gates funded researchers committed pervasive ethical violations: pressuring vulnerable village girls into the trial, bullying parents, forging consent forms, and refusing medical care to the injured girls. The case is now in the country's Supreme Court.

"In 2010, the Gates Foundation funded a trial of a GSK's experimental malaria vaccine, killing 151 African infants and causing serious adverse effects including paralysis, seizure, and febrile convulsions to 1,048 of the 5,049 children…."

"During Gates 2002 MenAfriVac Campaign in Sub-Saharan Africa, Gates operatives forcibly vaccinated thousands of African children against meningitis.

Between 50-500 children developed paralysis. South African newspapers complained, 'We are guinea pigs for drug makers.' Nelson Mandela's former Senior Economist, Professor Patrick Bond, describes Gates' philanthropic practices as 'ruthless' and 'immoral.'

"In 2010, Gates committed $10 billion to the WHO, promising to reduce population, in part, through new vaccines. A month later, Gates told a Ted Talk that new vaccines 'could reduce population.' In 2014, Kenya's Catholic Doctors Association accused the WHO of chemically sterilizing millions of unwilling Kenyan women with a phony 'tetanus' vaccine campaign.

"Independent labs found the sterility formula in every vaccine tested. After denying the charges, WHO finally admitted it had been developing the sterility vaccines for over a decade. Similar accusations came from Tanzania, Nicaragua, Mexico and the Philippines.

"A 2017 study (Morgensen et.Al.2017) showed that WHO's popular DTP is killing more Africans than the disease it pretends to prevent. Vaccinated girls suffered 10x the death rate of unvaccinated children. Gates and the WHO refused to recall the lethal vaccine which WHO forces upon millions of African children annually.

"Global public health advocates around the world accuse Gates of hijacking WHO's agenda away from the projects that are proven to curb infectious diseases: clean water, hygiene, nutrition, and economic development. They say he has diverted agency resources to serve his personal fetish--that good health only comes in a syringe.

"In addition to using his philanthropy to control WHO, UNICEF, GAVI, and PATH, Gates funds private pharmaceutical companies that manufacture vaccines, and a massive network of pharmaceutical -industry front groups that broadcast deceptive propaganda, develop fraudulent studies, conduct surveillance and psychological operations against vaccine hesitancy, and use Gates' power and money to silence dissent and coerce compliance.

"In his recent nonstop Pharmedia appearances, Gates appears gleeful that the Covid-19 crisis will give him the opportunity to force his third-world vaccine programs on American children."

So, clearly, Gates' vaccination campaign has been murdering and sterilizing untold thousands, if not millions, of people around the world, including children.

And now he wants to do it on a much grander scale, including here in the US, through his Covid-19 vaccine campaign.

In light of RFK Jr.'s above indictment, let us not forget what Gates said during a

2010 TedTalk that he gave:

“First, we’ve got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about nine billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines...we could lower that by, perhaps, 10 or 15 percent.”

It cannot be denied that killing off hoards of people through his vaccines has been his plan all along.

With this thought in mind, notice this chilling headline from the msn.com website, dated April 6, 2020:

“A potential coronavirus vaccine funded by Bill Gates is set to begin testing on people, with the first patient expected to get it today”

And then, just 5 days later, *Reuters* carried this headline on April 11:

“Could the New Coronavirus Weaken ‘Anti-Vaxers’?”

They were certainly hoping for that, especially Bill Gates.

Focusing our attention back on the RFK Jr. indictment of Bill Gates again, do take notice of how virtually all of those instances of Gates killing and sterilizing people around the world with his toxic vaccines had occurred from 2010 onward.

This is significant because it was in 210 that a very interesting meeting took place involving Mr. Gates.

The GatesFoundation.com website, in December of that year, posted this article:

“Global Health Leaders Launch Decade of Vaccines Collaboration”

It opened up with these chilling words:

“The World Health Organization (WHO), UNICEF, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation have announced a collaboration to increase coordination across the international vaccine community and create a Global Vaccine Action Plan. This plan will build on the successes of current work to achieve key milestones in the discovery, development and delivery of lifesaving vaccines to the most vulnerable

populations in the poorest countries over the next decade."

So can you not ser that RFK Jr.'s list of Gates' vaccine crimes around the world were all a direct outgrowth of this 2010 meeting?

No surprise, then, to see articles like this:

"UN Forced to Admit Gates-funded [Polio] Vaccine is Causing Polio Outbreak in Africa," TheLibertyBeacon.com, September 5, 2020.

Notice how it says the US was "forced" to admit this.

Usually the UN, which is all in favor of mass sterilization and population reduction programs, would cover such things up.

But it has to make such admission when backed into a corner, so as to not look like the criminal enterprise that it is.

Anyway, this article opened by saying:

"This really should be one of the biggest public health scandals of the decade, but instead it's given little attention--mainly because of the high-profile nature of the people and organizations involved."

If you have been prone to trust your government and pharmaceutical companies, I hope you are not second guessing that stance.

==========

Officially-admitted negative side effects from vaccines

The drugs.com website admits the following adverse effects from the HPV vaccine (note that it excludes cancer, which has definitively been attributed to this vaccine as well):

Fever
8anxiety
Back, leg, or stomach pains
Bleeding gums
Chest pain

Chills
Cough
Dark urine
Difficulty with breathing
Difficulty with swallowing
Dizziness or lightheadedness
Fainting
Rapid heartbeat
General body swelling
Headache
Hives or welts
Loss of appetite
Nosebleeds
Pale skin
Puffiness or swelling around the eyes, face, lips, or tongue
Redness of the skin
Seizures
Sore throat
Painful or swollen lymph glands in the neck, armpit, or groin
Tightness in the chest
Unusual tiredness or weakness
Vomiting
Yellowing of the eyes or skin
Difficulty with moving
Diarrhea
Joint pain or swelling
Muscle aches or stiffness
Ear congestion
Loss of voice
Nasal congestion
Runny nose
Sneezing
Bloating
Constipation
Indigestion
Pain, swelling, or redness at the injection site

But other than all that, it’s great.

So get your HPV shot today!

- The healthLine website lists these side effects for the polio vaccine:

Soreness or redness near the injection site
Low grade fever
Hives
Itching
Flushed skin
Paleness
Low blood pressure
Swollen throat or tongue
Trouble breathing
Wheezing
Rapid or weak pulse
Swelling of face or lips
Nausea
Vomiting
Dizziness
Fainting
Blue-colored skin

- The CDC's website lists these smallpox vaccine adversities:

Soreness and redness around injection site
Lymph nodes in armpits may become swollen and sore
Slight fever
Lack of energy
Difficulty sleeping
By touching the injection site and then touching the eyes, damage may be done to vision
Toxic or allergic rash
Buildup of inflamed tissue around the injection site that can turn into a non-healing sore
Inflammation of the brain

- According to drugs.com, these are the potential bad reactions from the hepatitis b adult vaccine:

Chest pain or discomfort
Inability to move arms and legs

Nausea
Pain or discomfort in arms, jaw, back, or neck
Sudden numbness or weakness in arms and legs
Sweating
Vomiting
Feeling of general discomfort or illness
Headache
Pain at the injection site
Unusual tiredness
Fever
Redness or swelling at injection site

- The DTP vaccine has these side effects, according to HealthTopQuestions.com:

Redness, soreness, swelling, and tenderness around injection site
High fever (105 degrees or more)
Fussiness
Tiredness
Poor appetite
Vomiting
Seizures
Crying spells lasting 3 hours or more
Coma
Lowered consciousness
Permanent brain damage
Death

- The common influenza flu shot carries these side effects, according to the VeryHealthy.life website:

Headache
Soreness, redness, swelling at injection site
Fever
Nausea
Muscular pain
Severe allergic reaction
Flu-like symptoms
GBS (Guillain-Barré syndrome)--a neurological condition that can result in
Permanent paralysis

- The CDC's website, under the heading "Historical Vaccine Safety Concerns," lists these examples of devastating potential effects from vaccines, in general, that have been documented over the years:

1. Cutter Incident - 1955 (which we discussed earlier)

"In 1955, some batches of polio vaccine given to the public contained live polio virus, even though they had passed required safety testing. Over 250 cases of polio were attributed to vaccines produced by one company: Cutter Laboratories. This case, which came to be known as the Cutter Incident, resulted in many cases of paralysis. The vaccine was recalled as soon as cases of polio were detected."

2. Simian Virus 40 - 1955-1963

"From 1955 to 1963, an estimated 10-30% of polio vaccines administered in the US were contaminated with simian virus 40 (SV40). The virus came from monkey kidney cell cultures used to make polio vaccines at that time. Most of the contamination was in the inactivated polio vaccine (IPV), but it was also found in oral polio vaccine (OPV). After the contamination was discovered, the U.S. government established testing requirements to verify that all new lots of polio vaccines were free of SV40."

3. Swine Flu Vaccine and Guillain-Barré Syndrome - 1976

"In 1976 there was a small increased risk of a serious neurological disorder called Guillain-Barré Syndrome (GBS) following vaccination with a swine flu vaccine. The increased risk was approximately 1 additional case of GBS for every 100,000 people who got the swine flu vaccine. When over 40 million people were vaccinated against swine flu, federal health officials decided that the possibility of an association of GBS with the vaccine, however small, necessitated stopping immunization until the issue could be explored."

4. Hepatitis B Vaccine and Multiple Sclerosis - 1998

5. Rotavirus Vaccine and Intussusception - 1998-1999

6. Guillain-Barré Syndrome and Meningococcal Vaccine - 2005-2008

7. Hib Vaccine Recall - 2007

“In 2007, Merck & Company, Inc. voluntarily recalled 1.2 million doses of Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) vaccines due to concerns about potential contamination with bacteria called B. cereus. The recall was a precaution, and after careful review, no evidence of B. cereus infection was found in recipients of recalled Hib vaccines.”

8. H1N1 Influenza Vaccine and Narcolepsy - 2009-2010

9. Porcine Circovirus in Rotavirus Vaccines - 2010

10. HPV Vaccine Recall - 2013

“In 2013, Merck & Company, Inc. recalled one batch of Gardasil, a human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine. The recall was a precaution following an error in the manufacturing process. The company had concerns that a small number of vials might have contained glass particles due to breakage. No health problems were reported relating to this recall other than known side effects that can result from any vaccination, like arm redness and soreness where the shot was given.”

Need any more be said?

Actually, there is more…

Because children, and now babies as well, are being subject to a plethora of vaccines, this is particularly alarming, since these victims are more severely vulnerable to the harmful substances they contain--much more so than adults, not having their immune systems developed yet, and worse so if they are not breast fed.

Not only that, but because their brains are in an early stage of development, they are more vulnerable to the adverse neurological effects of the mercury and aluminum in vaccines.

Symptoms and conditions such as the following have been noted by parents around the world within weeks, days, hours, or even sometimes minutes after their children been vaccinated:

Asthma
Atopy
Allergies

Ticks and Tourette's Syndrome
Autism
Learning disorders
Communication disorders / delayed speech
Spinal trouble / scoliosis
Scooter Syndrome
Screaming fits
Developmental disorders
Intellectual disability
ADD / ADHD
Disruptive behavior disorder
Seizures / epilepsy
Death

Often it happens that vaccine side effects are not recognized, or else not admitted to, by doctors.

Yet, those experiencing them know that it was the vaccine, because they never experienced such health problems until they were vaccinated.

For example, you may recall what was labeled as "Gulf War Syndrome" (GWS) among soldiers returning form the 1990-1991 Gulf War in Iraq.

Not only the soldiers themselves, but their newborn babies were exhibiting all sorts of serious health problems, and GWS was common among soldiers who didn't even get sent to Iraq--so it can't be said that GWS resulted from something the soldiers came into contact with while stationed in Iraq.

Nevertheless, the Pentagon has consistently denied that there is a vaccine connection with GWS, just like they denied a connection between thousands of Vietnam War veterans getting cancer and their exposure to Agent Orange.

Well, this connection was denied for decades, anyway, until finally admitting it only after most vets had died from malignant tumors.

==========

Shedding--yet another vaccine side effect

Shedding is a process whereby vaccinated people can spread a contagion if the

vaccine they received contains live viruses (and many do).

The threat is especially high if another person touches the injection site, or touches something that came into contact with the injection site.

And this threat factor can remain in place for up to a full month after a person has been “immunized.”

This is yet another horror brought to us by vaccine manufacturers that most people sadly and blindly place their trust in.

This has got to stop!

==========

A closer look at some disturbing vaccine ingredients

Vaccines are literally a witch’s brew of toxic ingredients, which, for the most part, fall into 3 basic groups:

1. Pathogens: These are bacteria or viruses that, as we have seen, have been known to actually cause the very disease that the vaccine alleges to create immunity to, not only to the recipient of the vaccine, but those around him or her.

2. Adjuvants: These are said to boost the body’s immune response by enhancing the production of antibodies.

The substances used as adjuvants include aluminum hydroxide and aluminum phosphate.

Aluminum, of course, is a known neurotoxin.

The way that vaccine advocates try to get around this major snag is mind-blowing.

The WHO states on its website, under the heading “Aluminum Adjuvants”:

“The FDA analysis indicates that the body burden of aluminum following injections of aluminum-containing vaccines never exceeds safe US regulatory thresholds based on orally ingested aluminum even for low birth-weight infants.”

The claim is being made here that injected aluminum has been determined to be safe based on equal amounts of ingested aluminum.

But this is ridiculous, because ingested aluminum goes through the body's filtration systems of the kidneys and the liver, whereas injected aluminum goes directly into the bloodstream and thus straight to the brain, without being filtered.

Dr. Paul Thomas, a board-certified pediatrician for over 3 decades and founder of Physicians for Informed Consent, as well as a member of the Academy of Pediatrics, had this to say about aluminum in vaccines:

"Unfortunately, most of my peers don't even realize there's aluminum in these vaccines. Or if they do, they don't know how much is in there. They don't know what the safe dose is for aluminum because, you see, we were trained that aluminum is safe! It's been in vaccines since the beginning and it was studied and was proven to be safe. At least that's what we were told." - "The Truth About Vaccines" documentary by Ty Bolllinger.

Shockingly, the noted journal, *Current Medical Chemistry*, revealed in a study that its team conducted that children today up to 6 months of age receive 14.7 to 49 times more aluminum from vaccines than is allowed by the FDA's safety regulations.

In February of 2019, the Informed Consent Action Network submitted a FOIA request to the NIH, asking for:

"…copies of any human or animal studies involving the subcutaneous or intramuscular injection of aluminum adjuvant relied upon by the National Institutes of Health to establish the safety of injecting infants and children with aluminum hydroxide, aluminum phosphate, or amorphous aluminum hydroxiphosphate sulfate."

The NIH gave this reply:

"No records responsive to your request were located."

In other words, there has never been a test to determine the effects of poisonous aluminum concoctions injected into infants and children.

And yet, this same institution advocates--yea, requires--said youngsters to be

injected with multiple doses of such toxins.

3. Preservatives and fixatives: One common preservative that is still used in many vaccines (mostly in flu vaccines) is thimerosal, which is a mercury-based compound, and mercury, of course, is another neurotoxin.

The CDC tries to cover up the continued use of thimerosal in vaccines by stating:

"None of the…vaccines used today in the United States to protect infants and toddlers against infectious diseases contain Thimerosal as a preservative. Thimerosal still may be used in the early stages of making certain vaccines. However, it is removed through a purification process. When this process is complete, only trace, or insignificant, amounts of Thimerosal are left (less than 0.3 mcg) and these amounts have no biological effect."

But this is not true, as can be seen from a 2010 article entitled "Mercury Exposure and Children's Health," found on the website of the National Center for Biotechnology Information, which states:

"Mercury is a highly toxic element; there is no known safe level of exposure."

There seems to be no end to the toxic ingredients in vaccines.

Some of the major specific toxins used in their production, most of which fall under one of the 3 above-cited categories, include: formaldehyde, ammonium sulfate, sorbitol, aspartame, monosodium glutamate, and phenol (or carbolic acid).

Formaldehyde is, of course, another neurotoxin.

It is also recognized by the International Agency for Research on Cancer as highly carcinogenic.

And, of course, vaccines also contain ingredients of animal origin, such as: gelatin, chick embryonic fluid, human diploid cells from aborted fetal tissue, vesicle fluid from calf skins, mouse serum proteins, and monkey kidney cell cultures.

Do you really want this slop in your system, or worse yet, in your child's system?

Many vaccines also contain proteins which, although important nutrients, are toxins when injected directly into the bloodstream.

Proteins are only useful to the body when they are ingested and pass through the digestive system.

Some vaccines also contain:

- Beta-Propiolactone

This substance is known to cause cancer.

It is also known to be harmful to the gastrointestinal system, the liver, skin, nervous system, and the respiratory system.

- Gentamicin Sulphate and Polymyxin B (both antibiotics)

Both of these toxins can cause mild to life-threatening reactions.

- Genetically modified yeast, as well as animal, bacterial and viral DNA

These substances can be incorporated into the recipient's DNA and cause serious genetic mutations.

- Pig, sheep and horse blood; rabbit brain material; dog kidney cells; cow heart cells; monkey kidney cells, etc.

All of these have been linked to childhood leukemia and diabetes.

- Neomycin Sulphate (another antibiotic--one of the most powerful immunosuppressants ever made)

This can interfere with the absorption of Vitamin B6, which can lead to epilepsy and permanent brain damage.

- Polysorbate 60, 80 and 20

These substances are known to cause cancer in animals and are linked to numerous autoimmune issues and infertility.

- Tri(N) Butylphosphate

This is toxic to both the kidneys and the nervous system.

- Borax

This is an obvious poison that is used as an ant pesticide.

The PDR, or Physicians Desk Reference, sums this wole toxic vaccine mess up so well:

"…injections of foreign substances like viruses, toxins, and foreign proteins into the blood stream by a vaccination have been associated with diseases and disorders of the blood, brain, nervous system and skin. Rare diseases such as atypical measles and monkey fever, as well as such well known disorders…as premature aging and allergies have been associated with vaccines. Also linked to immunizations are such well known diseases as cancer, leukemia, paralysis, multiple sclerosis, arthritis and sudden infant death syndrome."

So be sure to keep up on your recommended vaccines!

==========

A subtle murder weapon

Not only can vaccines make a person sick, or maim a person for life with autism and other brain and body disorders, but they can and sometimes do kill people.

This was the whole theme behind the well-documented book *Murder by Injection* by Eustace Mullins.

Consider, for example, the ABC news program 20/20, which aired a story in 1999 claiming that the hepatitis B vaccine caused Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (or SIDS).

The story included a picture of a 1-month-old girl who died of SIDS only 16 hours after receiving the second dose of the hepatitis B vaccine.

Since the time of the introduction of the hepatitis B vaccine for routine use in all infants, about 5,000 children have died every year from SIDS.

But such deaths have never been reported as vaccine-related, because that is how the pharmaceutical companies want it.

Big pharma, as it turns out, has long had this type of control on what vaccine blunder gets reported and what doesn't.

For example, in her 1951 book *The Truth About Vaccination and Immunization*, Lily Loat wrote:

"Perusal of reports of some hundreds of inquests right down to the present reveals the reluctance of coroners and investigating doctors to attribute deaths to the results of vaccination."

Likewise, Professor George Dick stated in the June 1971 edition of the *British Medical Journal*:

"…few doctors like to attribute a death or complication to a procedure which they have recommended and in which they believe."

And this is especially true when pressure is applied from above.

Another problem is the fact that some vaccine damage takes time to show up--sometimes not until weeks, months or even years later.

The original polio vaccine of the early 1950s, for example, didn't reveal its toxic effects until 30 years later, in the early 1980s, at which time a massive increase in cancer diagnoses occurred among recipients of that vaccine 30 years earlier.

Coming back to the topic of SIDS and the vaccine connection, it's important to note this headline:

"As Vaccinations Stopped [due to the Covid-19 lockdown], Infant Mortality Plummeted 30%," Banned.Video, July 8, 2020.

==========

The booster shot fallacy

It would be bad enough if people, especially kids, get one type of shot once in a lifetime, but there are several vaccines that are claimed to be necessary every so many years, known as booster shots.

This obviously magnifies the risks of adverse side-effects, causing toxins to accumulate in the body.

Not only that, but the need for such revaccinations should cause people to wonder how effective they are supposed to be in the first place.

In the book *What Really Makes You Ill?*, authors David Lester and David Parker wrote:

"…it is claimed that certain vaccines need to be repeated at various stages of people's lives because the 'protection' conferred is not life-long; these are referred to as 'booster shots.' It should be obvious, however, that if the theory that vaccines confer immunity were correct, revaccination or booster shots would never be necessary."

Yes indeed!

==========

The UN's Agenda 2030 and vaccines

The United Nation's Agenda 2030 document, which was adopted by all UN member states in September of 2015, makes this very frightening proclamation:

"[Our mission is to provide] safe, effective, quality and affordable medicines and vaccines for all."

For all?

So, the United Nations wants EVERYONE ON EARTH to be vaccinated.

And, of course, the Covid-19 fiasco is being used to massively push that very agenda forward.

Similarly, the WHO's Global Vaccine Action Plan 2011-2020 Report states:

"Immunization is, and should be recognized as, a core component of the human right to health and an individual, community, and governmental responsibility."

This thinly-veiled power grab of a statement echoes the previous quote--that the UN intends to force people, through member state governments, to be immunized.

And it hardly needs repeating that vaccines are not at all the road to health.

On the contrary, they will wreck the health of a great many people and outright kill many more.

==========

WHO conference delegates speak out

What is so amazing is that, even though the WHO has been at the forefront of pushing vaccines globally, their own attendees at their own conferences have been highly skeptical about vaccine safety over the years.

For example, on December 2, 2019, the World Health Organization hosted "The Global Vaccine Safety Summit."

During the deliberations, top health officials from around the world expressed their concerns about the safety risks that vaccines impose.

Here are some notable examples:

- Dr. Heidi Larson, PhD, Director of the Vaccine Confidence Project, stated:

"There's a lot of safety science that's needed, and without the good science, we can't have good communication….We need much more investment in safety science….

"The other thing that's a trend and an issue, is not just confidence in providers, but confidence of healthcare providers. We have a very wobbly health professional frontline that is starting to question vaccines and the safety of vaccines. When the frontline professionals are starting to question, or they don't feel like they have enough confidence about the safety to stand up to it to the person asking them the questions. I mean, most medical school curriculums, even

nursing curriculums, I mean, you're lucky if you have a half day on vaccines, never mind keeping up to date with all this."

- Dr. Soumya Swaminathan, Chief Scientist, WHO Pediatrician, declared:

"I think we cannot overemphasize the fact that we really don't have any good safety monitoring systems in many countries, and this adds to the miscommunication and misapprehensions, because we're not able to give clear-cut answers when people ask us questions about the deaths that have occurred, due to a particular vaccine, and this always gets blown up in the media. One should be able to give a very factual account of what exactly is happening and what the cause….In most cases, there's some obfuscation at that level, and therefore there's less and less trust in the system."

- Dr. Martin Howell Friede, Coordinator: Initiative for Vaccine Research, WHO, noted:

"Every time that there is an association [between vaccines and sudden emergent health problems], be it temporal or not temporal, the first accusation is that it is the adjuvant. And yet, without adjuvants were are not going to have the next generation of vaccines. And many of the vaccines that we do have…require adjuvants in order for them to work. So the challenge we have in front of us is, How do we build confidence? And the confidence, first of all, comes from the regulatory agencies…When we add an adjuvant, it's because it is essential. We do not add adjuvants to vaccines because we want to do so. But when we add them, it adds to the complexity. And I give courses every year on how to develop vaccines--how to make vaccines--and the first lesson is, While you're making your vaccine, if you can avoid using an adjuvant, please do so. Lesson Two is, If you're going to use an adjuvant, use one that has a history of safety. Lesson Three is, If you're not going to do that, think very carefully."

- Dr. Stephen Evans, Professor of Pharmacoepidemiology, remarked:

"It seems to me that adjuvants multiply the immunogenicity of the antigens that they are added to, and that that is their intention. It seems to me they multiply the reactogenicity in many instances. And therefore it seems to me that it is not to be unexpected if they multiply the incidents of adverse reactions that are associated with the antigen that may not have been detected through lack of statistical power in the original studies."

- Dr. David Kaslow, VP Essential Medicines, Drug Development, Program PATH Center for Vaccine Innovation and Access (CVIA), said:

"In our clinical trials where we are actually using relatively small sample sizes, and when we do that we're at risk of tyranny of small numbers….And it takes years and years to try to figure, to figure that out, so, it's a real conundrum, right? Getting the right size, dealing with the tyranny of small numbers….So I think that one of the things that we really need to invest in are better biomarkers, better mechanistic understanding of how these things work, so we can better understand adverse events as they come up."

- Dr. Marion Gruber, Director: Office of Vaccines Research and Review, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, FDA, proclaimed:

"One of the additional issues that complicates safety evaluation is, when you look at, and you struggle with the length of follow-up that should be adequate in a, let's say a pre-licensure or even a pre-marketing study, if that's even possible, and again…in pre-licensure clinical trials, [it] may not be powered enough. It's also the subject population that you administer the adjuvant to, because we've seen data presented to us, where, an adjuvant, a particular adjuvant added to a vaccine antigen, did really nothing when administered to a certain population, and usually the elderly, you know, compared to administering the same formulation to younger aged strata [children]. So, these are things which need to be considered as well, and further complicate safety and effectiveness evaluation of adjuvants combined with vaccine antigens."

- Dr. Bassey Okposen, Program Manager, National Emergency Routine Immunization Coordination Center, Abuja, Nigeria, stated:

"I cast back my mind to a situation in Nigeria, where at six weeks, ten weeks, fourteen weeks, a child is being given different antigens from different companies, and these vaccines have different adjuvants, different preservatives, and so on. Something crosses my mind. Is there a possibility of these adjuvants, preservatives, cross reacting amongst themselves? Has there ever been a study on the possibilities of cross reactions…that you can share…with us?"

- Dr. Robert Chen, Scientific Director, Brighton Collaboration, opined:

"Now the only way to tease that out is if you have a large population data base like the vaccine safety data link, as well as some of the other national data bases that

are coming to being worthy. Actual vaccine exposure is tracked down to that level of specificity of 'Who is the manufacturer?' 'What is the lot number?,' etc. etc. And there's initiative to try to make the vaccine label information barcoded so that it includes that level of information, so that in the future, when we do these types of studies, we're able to tease that out…."

==========

Permanently altering human DNA

On May 17, 2016, the NaturalBlaze.com website carried this article:

"New Vaccines Will Permanently Alter Human DNA"

It stated:

"I.G.T. [immunoprophylaxis by gene transfer] is altogether different from traditional vaccination. It is instead a form of gene therapy. Scientists isolate the genes that produce powerful antibodies against certain diseases and then synthesize artificial versions. The genes are placed into viruses and injected into human tissue, usually muscle.

"Here is the punchline: The viruses invade human cells with their DNA payloads, and the synthetic gene is incorporated into the recipient's own DNA. If all goes well, the new genes instruct the cells to begin manufacturing powerful antibodies.

"Read that again: the synthetic gene is incorporated into the recipient's own DNA. Alteration of the human genetic makeup. Permanent alteration."

This article later stated:

"Synthetic genes injected into billions of humans would form a grand experiment to create an altered species."

"This grand experiment could be compartmentalized. For example, secretly, genes 1-6 would be injected into Group A in geo-location I. Genes 7-12 would be injected into Group B in location II. And so on.

"Vaccine recipients will be subjected to ongoing surveillance to gauge the results. On various pretexts, members of these groups will be brought into clinics for exams and tests, to discover markers that purportedly reveal their bodies' responses to the genetic alterations.

"Are these people stronger or weaker? Do they exhibit signs of illness?

Do they report behavioral changes? Through surveillance and testing, all sorts of information can be compiled.

"Of course, there is no informed consent. The human guinea pigs have no knowledge of what is being done to them.

"And what would be the objectives of this lunatic research program? They would vary. On a simplified level, there would be two. Create weaker and more docile and more obedient and more dependent humans. On the other side, create stronger and healthier and more intelligent and more talented humans. Obviously, the results of the latter experiments would be applied to the 'chosen few.' And clearly, some of this research will be carried on inside the military. Secrecy is easier to maintain, and the aim to produce 'better soldiers' is a long-standing goal of the Pentagon and its research arm, DARPA.

"A global vaccine experiment of the type I'm describing here has another bonus for the planners: those people who fall ill or die can be written off as having suffered from various diseases and disorders which 'have nothing to do with vaccines.' This is already SOP for the medical cartel."

And here is the main conclusion statement of the article:

"With vaccines that permanently alter human genetic makeup on the horizon, and given the corporate and government-agency penchant for secrecy, we are already inhabiting the Brave New World. It's not a distant prospect."

All of this calls to mind a famous quote from Bertrand Russell's *The Impact of Science on Society*:

"The [elite-created global government] System, one may surmise, will be something like this: Except...[for] the governing aristocracy, all but 5 percent of males and 30 percent of females will be sterilized....As a rule, artificial insemination will be preferred to the natural method. The unsterilized, if they desire the pleasures of love, will usually have to seek them with sterilized partners.

"Children will be taken from their mothers and reared by professional nurses....The laboring class [meaning all those but the "aristocracy"] will have such long hours of work and so little to eat that their desires will hardly extend beyond sleep and food...[there will be] abolition of the family...[and a] supreme duty of devotion to the state.

"Gradually, by selective breeding, the congenital differences between the rulers and the ruled will increase until they become almost different species. A revolt of the plebs [the ruled masses] would become as unthinkable as an organized insurrection of sheep against the practice of eating mutton.

“Even if all are miserable, all will believe themselves happy, because the government will tell them that they are so.”

What is perhaps most scary of all is the nanotechnology aspect of modern vaccine production.

Just consider the following recent headlines:

- On October 21, 2014, the entrepreneur.com website carried this article:

“The U.S. Military Wants to Inject People's Brains With Painkilling Nanobots That Could Replace Medicine”

- TheRegister.com reported on August 27, 2020:

“Autonomous robots that can be injected? Not as far off as it sounds, say boffins, thanks to new ion-powered silicon legs”

- CNET.com had this headline on August 26, 2020:

“Scientists build army of 1 million microrobots that can fit inside a hypodermic needle”

- The SiliconRepublic.com website had this headline on April 21, 2019:

“Scientists propose putting nanobots in our bodies to create ‘global superbrain’”

- InterestingEngineering.com carried this article on April 25, 2017:

“Nanobots Will Be Flowing Through Your Body by 2030”

The even scarier subtitle read:

“In 10 years, tiny nanobots in your blood might help keep you from getting sick or even transmit your thoughts to a wireless cloud”

- The *Irish Times* posted this article on October 19, 2017:

“Nanobots will live in our brains in the 2030s, says Google boss”

- The OpIndia.com website had this article on May 13, 2020:

"Bill Gates' coronavirus vaccine will have nano trackers, will be controlled via 5G satellites to take Islam out of Muslims: Pakistani 'expert' Zaid Hamid"

- Germany's dw.com (*Deutsche Welle*) website published an article called "COVID-19: Nanomachines to be deployed to fight next viral pandemic."

Very, very scary…and it all revolves around vaccinations.

And what is their ultimate agenda with all of this?

It was summed up a long time ago by Dr. Jose M.R. Delgado, former Director of Neuropsychiatry, Yale University Medical School, and a former researcher for the CIA's MK-Ultra mind control experimental program, who said:

"We need a program...for political control of our society. The purpose is...control of the mind. Everyone who deviates from the given norm can be surgically mutilated. The individual may think that the most important reality is his own existence, but this is only his personal point of view....Man does not have the right to develop his own mind. We must electronically control the brain. Some day armies and generals will be controlled by electronic stimulation of the brain [microchip implants?]." - *Congressional Record*, No. 26, Vol. 118, February 24, 1974.

Here's a related scary issue:

On June 4, 2020, *USA Today* carried this article:

"Fact check: Feds buy syringes that may have RFID chips, but no evidence COVID-19 vaccination required"

Whether required or not at first, it eventually will be, and now we have confirmation of one of their real agendas--RFID chips.

On June 13, 2020, the *New York Times* had this headline:

"Guaranteed Ingredient in Any Coronavirus Vaccine? Thousands of Volunteers"

The subtitle read:

“Two sisters in Missouri were among the first to have an unproven coronavirus vaccine injected in their bodies. If it makes it to market, it would also be the first DNA vaccine for any disease”

Here’s another related hair-raiser:

TheVaccineReaction.org reported on April 18, 2020:

“Inovio COVID-19 Vaccine Uses Electricity to Drive DNA Into Body Cells”

The article opened by stating:

“Inovio Pharmaceuticals headquartered in Pennsylvania, has just begun Phase 1 human clinical trials of its new DNA vaccine for COVID-19 (INO-4800). Inovio’s experimental DNA vaccine uses an electrical device to deliver DNA directly into the cells of a person being vaccinated.”

Isn’t that just lovely?

==========

At their best, vaccines are altogether useless

Not only do vaccines cause harm and death, but they are entirely useless.

The following articles should suffice to demonstrate that fact:

- “Harvard-Westlake students were vaccinated. Dozens caught whooping cough anyway,” *Los Angeles Times*, March 16, 2019.

- “You Could Get the Measles, Even If You’re Vaccinated,” PBS.org, January 28, 2015.

Even if you’re vaccinated?

How about BECAUSE you’re vaccinated?

- “Measles Outbreak Traced to Fully Vaccinated Patient For First Time,” scienceMag.org, April 11, 2014.

The truth is, there are just way too many unknown variables with vaccines, as admitted in the August 2013 article "When Vaccinations Fail to Cause Response: Causes Vary With Vaccine and Person," featured on such websites as MedicalXpress.com:

"[The reasons some people do not respond to vaccines] have remained unidentified up to now….

"…there is no standard pattern to this but that the causes vary according to vaccination and group of people…"

With so many unknowns surrounding vaccines, even by those who manufacture and push them, why should, and how could, anyone afford to take chances with them?

The whole vaccine scene has had major problems from the very beginning, and the situation has never improved.

Way back in 1896, Dr. Walter Hadwen, who was endorsed by England's Royal College of Surgeons and the Royal College of Physicians, had this to say about vaccines:

"As a medical man I look upon vaccination as an insult to common sense, as superstitious in its origin, unscientific in theory and practice, and useless and dangerous in its character."

Several decades later, another British doctor endorsed by the same establishments as Hadwen, Dr. M. Beddow Bayly, had this to say about vaccines in 1936, in a booklet entitled "The Case Against Vaccination":

"Of scientific basis or justification for the practice there is none, and the history of vaccination is the record of a superstitious rite pursued by a series of methods each in turn abandoned when experience proved its danger, and presenting a trail of extravagant claims not one of which has stood the test of time."

The New England Journal of Medicine carried this article on March 26, 1987:

"Measles Outbreak in a Fully Immunized Secondary-School Population"

It stated:

“An outbreak of measles occurred among adolescents in Corpus Christi, Texas, in the spring of 1985, even though vaccination requirements for school attendance had been thoroughly enforced.”

The later conclusion of this article was as follows:

“We conclude that outbreaks of measles can occur in secondary schools, even when more than 99 percent of the students have been vaccinated and more than 95 percent are immune.”

So, aside from all the deadly effects of vaccines, what GOOD do they actually do, other than line the pockets of pharmaceutical companies?

Check out these damning statistics, taken from US Public Health Reports:

- In Tennessee in 1958, 119 cases of polio were reported before compulsory shots.

A year later, in 1959, 386 cases of polio occurred AFTER compulsory shots were administered.

- In Ohio in 1958, 17 cases of polio existed before compulsory shots were given.

But in the following year, 1959, 52 cases of polio arose after compulsory shots were delivered.

- In Connecticut in 1958, 45 cases of polio broke out before compulsory shots.

But in the next year, 1959, 123 cases of polio broke out after the introduction of compulsory shots.

- In North Carolina in 1958, 78 cases of polio transpired before compulsory shots.

But in the following year, 1959, 313 cases of polio came about after compulsory shots.

- In Los Angeles in 1958, 89 cases of polio happened before shots.

But in the next year, 1959, 190 cases of polio erupted after shots were administered.

- In 1961, before the measles vaccination, the measles count for the entire country was only 4,283 cases.

The 1962 national measles count was even less, with only 3,938 cases.

But the measles vaccine, licensed in 1963, increased the measles count to 57,380 by 1980, according to the "Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report" of the CDC.

- The book *Vaccination Condemned*, published in 1981, revealed that the:

"U.S. Public Health Service announced, 'The number of cases of diphtheria so far this year of 10,335 was not so alarming, but it is 2,500 above the recent norm, and this after the most intense and widespread vaccination with DPT vaccine over the past 45 years.'"

- In 2017, three US universities had outbreaks of mumps--Illinois State, Louisiana State and Chapman University in California.

By law, all of the students at these schools were vaccinated against this disease, and yet the mumps still spread on these campuses.

- In 2016 there was a mumps outbreak at Harvard University.

On April 16 of that year, the *Boston Globe* reported that 40 cases were logged in one month.

And these were students that, once again, had been vaccinated against this disease.

So much for achieving "herd immunity" through vaccinations.

So why do they push mumps vaccines when they obviously are not effective, and might even be causing mumps?

Aside from money, here's another big reason--mumps is known to have adverse effects on testicles and can cause sterility.

Even the tuberculosis "skin test" is a disaster.

It implants live tuberculosis in otherwise healthy people.

The scratch on the skin with some tuberculosis applied does allow the disease causing agent to enter the bloodstream, causing reactions ranging from mild to severe (sometimes including death).

Just look at the horrible track record of vaccines!

One thing shines through loud and clear through all of this--that not only do vaccines not prevent diseases, but they actually cause them.

Dr. Robert Mendelsohn, in his book *Confessions of a Medical Heretic*, wrote:

"There has never been a single vaccine in this country [the US] that has ever been submitted to a controlled scientific study. They never took a group of 100 people who were candidates for a vaccine, gave 50 of them a vaccine and left the other 50 alone to measure the outcome. And since that hasn't been done, that means if you want to be kind, you will call vaccines an unproven remedy. If you want to be accurate, you'll call people who give vaccines quacks."

Likewise, Dr. John Classen, medical researcher, stated:

"My data proves that the studies used to support vaccinations are so flawed that it is impossible to say if vaccination provides a net benefit to anyone or to society in general. This question can only be determined by proper studies which have never been performed." - As quoted by Dr. William P. Trebing in his 2004 book *Good-Bye Germ Theory*.

Dr. and medical researcher Archie Kalokerinos likewise stated:

"I found that the whole vaccine business was indeed a gigantic hoax. Most doctors are convinced that they are useful, but if you look at the proper statistics you will realize that this is not so." - Ibid.

On April 27, 1017, the *Journal of Translational Science* published the first comparative study of vaccinated and unvaccinated kids in America.

The study was led by epidemiologist Dr. Adnrew R. Mawson from the School of Public Health at Jackson State University.

It compared hundreds of vaccinated and unvaccinated home schooled kids from

Oregon, Florida, Louisiana and Mississippi, from ages 6 to 12.

The conclusion of the study was that vaccinated children were more inclined to be diagnosed with a chronic illness than unvaccinated children.

More specifically, the study showed that vaccinated children were:

- 4.2-fold more likely to be diagnosed on the Autism Spectrum

- 340 percent more likely to be diagnosed with ADHD

- 5-fold more likely to be diagnosed with a learning disability

- 2.9-fold more likely to be diagnosed with eczema

- 3.7-fold more likely to acquire Neurodevelopmental Disorder

- 3.8-fold more likely to be diagnosed with middle ear infection

- 5.9-fold more likely to be diagnosed with pneumonia

- 700 percent more likely to be in need of surgery for the insertion of ear drainage tubes

- 22-fold more likely to require an allergy medication

- 30-fold more likely to be diagnosed with allergic rhinitis (hay fever)

In general, the unvaccinated group was found to be medically superior in the vaccinated group in every category that was measured.

No surprise there.

As Dr. William P. Trebing wrote in *Good-Bye Germ Theory*:

"There is a world of difference between vaccinated and un-vaccinated children in appearance, vibrancy, sharpness, alertness, and most obviously from the perspective of physical health. Un-vaccinated children get sick as they are supposed to by nature, and they get over their illnesses far quicker than vaccinated children, and develop a natural, lifetime immunity to illness and a strong immune

system."

Moreover, ChildrensHealthDefense.org had this related headline on December 7, 2020:

"Groundbreaking Study Shows Unvaccinated Children Are Healthier Than Vaccinated Children"

It stated:

"Unvaccinated children are healthier than vaccinated children, according to a new study published in the International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. The study--'Relative Incidence Of Office Visits and Cumulative Rates Of Billed Diagnoses Along the Axis Of Vaccination'--by James Lyons-Weller, PhD and Paul Thomas, MD, was conducted among 3,300 patients at Dr. Thomas' Oregon pediatrics practice.

"This study adds to a growing list of published peer-reviewed papers (Mawson, 2017; Hooker and Miller, 2020) that compare the health of vaccinated children to the health of unvaccinated children. These studies suggest we have long underestimated the scope of vaccine harms, and that the epidemic of chronic illness in children is hardly a mystery.

"Since 1986, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has been legally obliged to conduct safety studies and issue a safety report on children's vaccinations every two years. In 2018, it was determined they had never done so. It is therefore incumbent upon non-governmental groups to do the work the CDC refuses to do."

Similar studies in other countries around the world (as expected) have showed the same results.

Here's an interesting statistic: NBCNews.com carried this article on June 22, 2016:

"FluMist Nose Spray Vaccine Doesn't Work This Year, Experts Say."

It revealed that the nasal spray flu vaccine, FluMist, was only effective for 3% of patients.

And to think that this is the kind of junk that they pressure people to take in order to "protect" society.

Every quarter, the US Department of Justice issues a report on vaccine injuries and deaths.

For the period May 16, 2015, to August 15, 2015, there were 211 cases of severe adverse reactions and deaths that they acknowledged were due to vaccines.

The report specifically listed 86 of the settlements, out of which 65 were for injuries and deaths due to the flu shot alone, with many of the injuries involving Guillain-Barre Syndrome.

Interestingly, the flu shot vaccine insert actually admits:

"…there have been no controlled trials adequately demonstrating a decrease in influenza disease after vaccination with FLU-LAVAL."

And yet, people are pressured by legislative fiat to get such shots, when there is no science behind it.

Unfortunately, few patients ever see this handout because they aren't even told it exists!

And what's more--even if flu vaccines were effective, viruses mutate at such a fast rate that there's no way that today's vaccine could be effective for tomorrow's virus.

The whole thing is completely ludicrous.

If you wish to further pursue this matter of the uselessness of vaccines, two good books to read are:

1. *Miller's Review of Critical Vaccine Studies* by Neil Z. Miller

- *Vaccines on Trial* by Pierre St. Clair

But the truth is, even if vaccines did eradicate certain diseases, would we still want to roll our sleeves up for them, especially in high numbers, when they contain so many extremely high toxicities?

The good news is, once again, that we don’t need vaccines anyway, if our immune systems are functioning up to par.

What nature has provided, man has ever could, improve upon.

By the way, it also needs to be pointed out that no vaccine that has gone to market has ever, ever been tested against a placebo.

Nor is there any legal requirement for them to be tested thusly.

Such tests are required for all medications, but this is not the case for vaccines.

Do you not find this incredibly troubling?

==========

Bribery and intimidation

So if vaccines are so useless, harmful and even downright deadly, then how is it that so many people in government, the media and the medical establishment are pushing for them?

And the most obvious answer is bribery.

Vaccine producers sponsor major media companies with billions of dollars every year, fund campaigns of key politicians who later push pro-vaccine legislation, and, of course, provide incentives to doctors.

So there you go--bribery.

As the expression gods, “money talks.”

Not only are laws being passed to make vaccines mandatory (such as kids not being able to attend school without their vaccine records being up to date), but even hospital polices are affected (such as medical staff being required to wear a face mask if not vaccinated, which was the case long before Covid-19).

Next, you will be denied health insurance if you’re not vaccinated.

This is pure intimidation and humiliation, to say nothing of a denial of your human and constitutional rights.

But let's look at some specific examples of such "tricks of the trade" that vaccine companies have employed to pawn off their poisons on the public:

On October 19, 2017, *The Guardian* carried an article called, "How Big Pharma's Money--and its Politicians--Feed the US Opioid Crisis."

It revealed that:

"…drug [and vaccine] makers have poured close to $2.5 billion into lobbying and funding members of Congress over the past decade….

"Nine out of ten members of the House of Representatives and all but three of the US's 100 senators have taken campaign contributions from pharmaceutical companies seeking to affect legislation on everything from the cost of drugs to how new medicines [and vaccines] are approved."

RFK Jr. has revealed that Blue Cross Blue Shield rewards doctors for convincing / coercing a certain percentage of their patients to get vaccinated, and denies such rewards to those who fail to do so.

He stated:

"If 63% [of patients] are not complying, they [the doctors] don't get any of their bonuses. If a pediatrician does not persuade his patients to comply with the CDC schedule, he suffers a terrible, terrible financial punishment from Blue Cross Blue Shield."

What Kennedy was referring to here, specifically, is pressure placed by pediatricians on parents to have their infants vaccinated with the CDC's "Combo 10."

If pediatricians have at least 63% of infants in their practice, under the age of 2, fully vaccinated with the "Combo 10," then they get their bonus of $400 per child.

As you can see, this can easily amount to tens of thousands of dollars.

So it's no wonder that doctors push vaccines.

And yet, all the while, these very doctors themselves often do not have their own kids vaccinated.

This was revealed by award-winning science journalist and former Senior Fellow at the Schuster Institute at Brandeis University, Dr. Jennifer Margulis.

She also found that top officials at the CDC don't have their kids vaccinated either.

Margulis stated:

"I know this because I've talked to them [doctors and CDC officials]. I could never reveal who those people are, but I can tell you that I have spoken to them myself and they have told me the truth. And the truth is that they are alternating the schedule in their own families for their own children….

"…we have public health officials screaming from the rooftops that parents must do this vaccine schedule exactly as put out by the CDC while themselves, in their own families, they're choosing not to follow it." As quoted by Pierre St. Clair in Vaccines on Trial. See also "Parents Deserve to Have a Choice About Vaccination," *New York Times*, March 23, 2014.

Data is frequently forged to give false impressions that vaccines are more effective than claimed and / or that they aren't as harmful (or aren't harmful at all) as anti-vaxxers point out.

For instance, Dr. David Lewis, who has conducted research in microbiology for the EPA, has expressed concern over the scientific process within both the EPA and the CDC.

He explained:

" …I saw the same thing at the CDC, the FDA, [and] other federal agencies; and the universities that they funded was all geared towards supporting certain government policies and industry practices. Scientists who published data that supported the government's polities and certain industry practices that were economically important became promoted. [On the other hand, if the research generated data] that raised questions about certain government policies or industry practices, that research would be suppressed. Their research would not be published….

"The issue of vaccine safety is an issue that I saw firsthand--that certain government agencies and certain universities are manipulating the data in order to

protect the sales of vaccines and to cover up any adverse effects."

This, and so much more, was pointed out by Lewis in his book *Science for Sale*.

And it's not just in the US that this kind of baloney goes on, of course.

Here are some other examples from around the world:

In Australia

As of January 1, 2016, the Australian Department of Health introduced a new vaccination regulation that states:

"Only parents of children (less than 20 years of age) who are fully immunized or are on a recognized catch-up schedule can receive the Child Care Benefit, the Child Care Rebate, and the Family Tax Benefit Part A."

The government slogan for this in Australia is:

"No jabs, no benefits."

Sounds like a protection racket, doesn't it?

In fact, that's exactly what it is.

In Italy

In mid-May of 2017, Italy's health minister announced that the government would be making vaccinations mandatory for all school children.

Unvaccinated kids would not be able to attend state schools, and their parents would be required to pay a minimum fine of 500 Euros per year.

Not only that, but a threat was made of said parents possibly having their children taken away from them, and they would be deemed as unfit parents.

Many Italians were suspicious that this scheme was hatched in 2014 when the Italian Health Minister, Beatrice Lorenzin, was chosen to be the world leader for "vaccine strategy," at the time when Italy was picked as the leading country for the WHO's worldwide vaccination campaign that was partially financed by the Bill

and Malinda Gates Foundation.

As you might imagine, this proposed legislation sparked massive protests all over Italy, but the mainstream media did not cover any of them.

Nevertheless, due to the tremendous pressure from demonstrators, revisions to this proposed legislation were made, but the push is still on there to make vaccines mandatory.

Not all government officials were for this law, however.

Senator Bartolmeo Pepe stated:

"What we are fighting for now is not just for vaccines. What is at stake is the freedom of the people, because people are put aside from the interests of multinational corporations such as the pharmaceuticals, like in this case, or oil, or banks. Soon we will have to fight on many fronts."

The truth is, if vaccines were all that great, as they are professed to be, then why would there need to be laws to enforce their use?

Their mere success stories would be enough to sell them, would they not?

But just look at how Covid-19 is changing the whole vaccine landscape, both in Italy and abroad.

Can you not smell a rat here, especially realizing how long big phama has been wanting vaccines forced on the public?

<u>In France and Germany</u>

The French government announced in 2018 that it will be introducing mandatory vaccination laws, and the German government had said essentially the same thing more recently.

But it's not all bad news…

The Swedish parliament has voted to ban mandatory vaccinations, citing "serious health concerns" and "violation of citizens' constitutional right to choose their own healthcare."

So hats off to Sweden.

Maybe Sweden should now be called "the land of the free," instead of the United States.

Perhaps the best summation of the sleazy tactics of big pharma at getting their products dumped on the unsuspecting public was delivered by RFK Jr., who said:

"All the things that I do are bent on forcing this debate out into the open. Because once the science is in the open, the CDC's position is so fragile. It's an edifice of fraud stacked upon fraud so high, and so wobbly, that even a slight breeze of public scrutiny will topple it.

"But the genius of what they've been able to do is to stop all that public scrutiny. It's not only Congress that won't investigate them, the regulatory agencies have been captured. The courts and the lawyers that would normally apply that scrutiny have been removed by the vaccine Act [of 1986].

"And the press has been compromised. And it's not just the mainstream, corporate media, but also the so-called alternate media like HuffPost and Slade, and Daily Beast [Salon], even Mother Jones, for whom this is a taboo topic. They simply will not cover it. They will not allow editorial, they won't allow letters, they won't allow any discussion….

"An article in the Columbian Journalism Review stated that, for a journalist this is radioactive, this is a career-ending controversy. So, reporters won't touch it. Everybody is scared of it. Doctors can lose their license if they talk about this. The scientific journals won't publish on it because they get directly punished as their revenues are coming largely from pharmaceutical companies.

"So they've effectively been able to shut off any kind of controversy. Mushrooms need darkness in order to flourish and that's what's happened. What we need is sunlight. If you put a little sunlight on this controversy the whole thing is gonna fall apart.

"All of my strategies, the lawsuits, the articles, public statements, demonstrations, everything is about trying to have a debate in our democracy. I've never seen anything like this in my life where you're simply not allowed to talk about it. The only place where talk is going on is on social media, and internet documentaries that will never air on mainstream TV.

"So our only resource left is to get the social media active before they also try to shut that down. But we will win this because all it takes is one crack, like

one editor to say, yes, we're gonna do a series on the corruption at the CDC....

"The level of viciousness towards the mothers of vaccine-injured children, or vaccine-hesitant parents, is unprecedented. These parents are not psychopaths or crackpots as they are being labeled. It's a very misogynistic impulse against women who are making complaints, and these women know what happened to their child!

"They bring a healthy child to their pediatrician, got a battery of shots, and that night the baby has a fever, maybe a seizure, and over the next few weeks regresses into autistic behavior. This has never happened in history before. There's no record of such events prior to the 20th century where normal children suddenly regressed into intellectual disability.

"Mothers who understood what happened are being dismissed as hysterical crackpots. Thousands of mothers are being dismissed and pejorative language is used against them. Nobody is listening to their stories and discovering how to solve the problem.

"This is the response of modern doctors to intelligent women who are well-informed and know the science, because they've read the government documents, the Simpsonwood transcripts, and attended many meetings….

"When doctors just do what they're told to do, that's not Science because science is not dogma. You have to allow the questions, and you can't just believe in it no matter what. There's 100 million kids a year being poisoned and exposed to this harm through thimerosal in vaccines.

"The depth of what has happened to kids really shakes me to the core and what will happen to future generations?…

"We need the media to start covering this issue honestly because the process behind the entire vaccine fiasco is, how can we make money from vaccinations? It's definitely not about health. And it's not only about the children but also about our military where we vaccinate all our troops. It's about the fraud, the corruption, and the greed.

"It's all down to saving money rather than saving lives. It's the manufacturers that have caused this tragedy by cutting corners and losing public trust. If they hadn't been so greedy, we could have had safe vaccines. They have dug this pit with their own dishonesty.

"When are those responsible going to be called to account for their ignorance, criminal complicity, conflict of interests, and grievous bodily harm?"

But how about parents?

How have they been maneuvered, on such a grand scale, into getting their children vaccinated?

Well, there have been many sleazy tactics employed over the years.

One obvious example, which is now in place around the country, and which we have already touched on, is the denial of their children's access to schools if they are not fully vaccinated.

This is absolutely abominable.

One way to get around this is to home school--which should be done anyway, since "public" education has been completely sabotaged in this country.

But just look at the tyrannical coercion of this policy.

In more extreme cases, some parents have been accused of being neglectful, or even abusive, for not having their child vaccinated, when in reality, the very opposite is true--that if parents understand the dangers of vaccination, they are being neglectful and abusive if they DO have their children vaccinated.

What a mess!

==========

How to properly avoid vaccines

If you outright refuse a vaccine down the line, you might get committed, arrested, fined, forced vaccinated, or any other number of evils.

So here's how to (hopefully) avoid such measures…

1. Ask the doctor if the vaccine contains MRC-5 (they all do--these are aborted fetal cells and other DNA), and you have the right to decline such things being injected into your body on this basis alone.

Also, ask if there is a possibility of an "iatrogenic reaction" (an adverse reaction caused by multiple compounds or drugs interacting with each other) from the vaccine (they all do).

When the doctor says, "Yes, it does," that's your out right there for sure.

Then thank the doctor for his offer, bid him a nice day, and walk away.

2. There is also this angle, where you present this to your doctor, or anyone else trying to pressure or force you to get vaccinated:

"NOTICE: REFUSAL OF VACCINE FOR CAUSE

"I do not believe your vaccine is safe.

"I know that many vaccines have been found to contain toxic adjuvants and toxic foreign materials.

"I know that these toxins are unnecessary, which suggests intentional contamination of vaccines.

"I know that many vaccines are not effective and actually cause the ailment they are purported to mitigate.

"I know that adjuvants are put into vaccines to "shock" the immune system into extreme response, and that causes biological "crisis," stress and damage to the immune system, the blood and the whole body.

"I know that vaccine death and injury statistics and information are suppressed by the media which receives billions of dollars in pharma advertising annually.

"I know that vaccine death and injury statistics and information are suppressed by the government and pharmaceutical regulatory agencies, which are largely run and controlled by big pharma executives, loyalists and lobbyists.

"I know that vaccines kill hundreds of thousands of people per year.

"I am aware of reports that vaccine experiments have caused tens of thousands of cases of polio and other diseases and injuries in India, Asia, Africa, the Philippines and many other countries around the world.

"I know that vaccines are so hazardous that the vaccine industry lobbied for and received immunity from the harm vaccines are causing.

"I know that taxpayers have paid billions of dollars to families whose members were injured or killed by vaccines.

"I do not trust the vaccine industry, government agencies or international agencies which are acting solely on behalf of vaccine sales and promotion and suppressing information of vaccine hazards.

"I know that most vaccines have not been tested or proven safe.

"I know that under current law all physicians and healthcare workers must have my consent to administer medicine to me. My consent is hereby denied and refused.

"I know that if someone is not a doctor, such as an elected official or bureaucrat, they may not administer medicine at all, much less "mandate" medical treatments for the general population.

"I know it is possible to mitigate and control all contagious diseases with safer and more effective means than vaccination.

"Anyone who claims privilege to inject materials in my body without my consent is my enemy and is a criminal.

"I will treat anyone who threatens to violate my body as a criminal assailant.

"No, you may not vaccinate me or my children. If you try, I will exercise my right to self-defense against you and your accomplices to any extent I deem necessary to protect ourselves.

"Forced vaccination is not authorized or permitted under Law. If there is a code or statutory "mandate" for forced medication, it is unconstitutional, unlawful and unenforceable.

"You may not attempt or threaten non-consensual vaccination, and if you do, you will be dealt with in a manner to restore rule of law and justice, and to protect our right to personal physical security.

"Your ignorance of vaccine hazards and medical rights and your inability to understand the facts above do not give you any immunity or any license to commit the crime of forced non-consensual medication.

"Can you name every ingredient of your vaccine? Presumably not.

"Can you predict the physical effects of each of those ingredients? No.

"Can you predict the consequences of combining those ingredients? No.

"Have you offered to personally take full responsibility to pay for any harm your vaccine causes? No.

"Therefore you do not have the slightest authority or privilege to forcibly administer vaccines.

"If you fail to immediately cease all threat of vaccination against me and my family, I cannot assure your safety.

3. Here's perhaps the most effective method of all:

For those who live in states which do not have a personal beliefs exemption and for some reason cannot obtain a religious or medical exemption, try this:

Demand a "Vaccination Guarantee,' in writing, from the school board, doctor, or nurse administering the shots.

This guarantee would hold them financially liable for damages caused by the vaccination.

Also demand that they guarantee, in writing, that the said vaccine will definitively provide protection from said disease.

If this can be drawn up on a paper with a law firm letterhead and signed by an attorney, and motorized, all the better.

You have every right to demand this from them.

After all, they tell you shots are mandatory, and yet they tell you to sign a form promising not to sue if something goes wrong, even though they insist that their shots are safe.

No way!

Tell them that they must guarantee the safety of this shot, with pain of liability for side effects, or else you have no obligation to comply.

End of story!

You might also want to get the book *The Revised Authoritative Guide To Vaccine Legal Exemptions* by Alan Phillips.

Be aware, too, that, according to the 1986 National Vaccine Childhood Injury Act, it is legally required for you to be provided with a Vaccine Information Statement (or vaccine insert) for each vaccine that you or your child receives (or that they try to force on you or your child).

This is a concise statement on risks associated with the said vaccine, and you have every right to refuse a vaccine based on those risks alone.

Vaccines can also be refused on the basis of a multitude of professional medical bodies who have argued against mandatory vaccinations.

For example:

- The Association of American Physicians and Surgeons (or AAPS) is not in favor forced vaccinations.

In their "Fact Sheet on Mandatory Vaccinations," dated April 9, 2009, they stated:

"AAPS has never taken an anti-vaccine position, although opponents have tried to paint that picture. AAPS has only attempted to halt government or school districts

from blanket vaccine mandates that violate parental informed consent….

"The Centers for Disease Control admits that the reported number of adverse effects of vaccines is probably only 10% of actual adverse effects….

"Rampant conflicts of interest in the approval process has been the subject of several Congressional hearing, and a recent Congressional report concluded that the pharmaceutical industry has indeed exerted undue influence on mandatory vaccine legislation toward its own financial interests.

"The vaccine approval process has also been contaminated by flawed or incomplete clinical trials, and government officials have chosen to ignore negative results. For example, the CDC was forced to withdraw its recommendation of the rotavirus vaccine within one year of approval. Yet public documents obtained by AAPS show that the CDC was aware of alarmingly high intussusception rates months before the vaccine was approved and recommended.

"Mandatory vaccines violate the medical ethic of informed consent. A case could also be made that mandates for vaccines by school districts and legislatures is the de facto practice of medicine without a license."

Indeed, and it's also a violation of the Hippocratic Oath of "do no harm."

- Renowned immunologist Dr. Tetyana Obukhanych sent an open letter to California legislators prior to the vote on the draconian mandatory vaccination law in that state labeled SB 277.

Although this sleazy law was passed in late June of 2015, it would never have happened if Dr. Obukhanych's letter was heeded.

Here are a few highlights from this letter:

1. "…a person who is not vaccinated with IPV, DTaP, Hep B, and Hib vaccines due to reasons of conscience, poses no extra danger to the public than a person who is. No discrimination is warranted."

2. "When the risk of an adverse event requiring an ER visit after well-baby vaccination is demonstrably so high, vaccination must remain a choice for parents, who may understandably be unwilling to assume this immediate risk in order to protect their children from diseases that are generally considered mild or that their children may never be exposed to."

3. "…elimination of vaccine exemptions, currently only utilized by a small percentage of families anyway, will neither solve the problem of disease

resurgence nor prevent re-importation and outbreaks of previously eliminated diseases."

(For legal arguments against vaccination, be sure toi refer back to the section of this study entitled "Covid-19 and the global-scale vaccine push.")

It simply cannot be said that we live in a free society when poisons are mandated to be injected into ANYONE, let alone children and little babies.

When we put all the people together who reject vaccines for religious, health, ethical and dietary reasons (vegans who reject ingestion or injection of animal substances into their bodies), we are dealing with a very significant part of the population.

Collectively we can effect change and stop this insanity.

To force people to be vaccinated with toxins against their will is both immoral, inhuman, tyrannical, and un-American.

As Dr. Robert Mendelsohn put it in his book *Confessions of a Medical Heretic*:

"The greatest threat of childhood diseases lies in the dangerous and ineffectual efforts made to prevent them through mass immunization. There is no convincing scientific evidence that mass inoculations can be credited with eliminating any childhood disease."

For those vaccine pushers who make the argument that unvaccinated people pose a health threat, here's how to easily shoot that one down:

Since unvaccinated people represent (unfortunately) a minority, how in the world could a small minority possibly pose a threat to the majority who are vaccinated and are thus allegedly secured from getting a particular disease?

==========

Closing remarks

Charles M. Higgins, in a letter to President Woodrow Wilson that he wrote in 1920 entitled "Horrors of Vaccination Exposed and Illustrated: Petition to the President to Abolish Compulsory Vaccination in Army and Navy," had written:

“Request to the President as Commander-in-Chief to abolish Compulsory Vaccination in Army and Navy, to make all vaccination voluntary as it now is in the English Army, and to pardon all men condemned by Court Martial for refusing coercive vaccination. Compulsory vaccination is a serious danger to health and life, a grave medical malpractice, and a gross violation of medical ethics and of the American principles of Inherent Natural Rights guaranteed in the Declaration and the Constitution, and should be abolished….

“Now the most barbarous and dangerous medical practice of today is the gross evil of compulsory vaccination, which is doubtless the great violation of common sense, medical propriety, and the unalienable natural rights of the individual guaranteed in our basic American charters, that any dogmatic, presumptuous profession or class of men has ever been guilty of. And it is to this serious violation of American principle forced on our soldiers and sailors by medical dogmatism that I now wish to ask your most careful attention as one having the supreme commanding and pardoning power in Army and Navy, with the earnest prayer that in the exercise of that exalted wisdom and power possessed by your Great American Office and Personality, which has now made itself felt around the whole world, that this medical barbarism of compulsory disease may be abolished in our Army and Navy…

“In this medical practice of today, the doctors do not open the veins and drain out the life blood of the patient, ostensibly to cure his disease, as in the mistaken past, but they now keep this blood in the body and inject into it directly or indirectly various more or less virulent diseases, blood poisons, or disease germs, cultures or products, either living or dead, with the idea of curing or preventing some natural disease….

“Now, whatever merits or demerits this system of disease inoculation may have, either in preventing or curing or immunizing against natural disease, I will not here say and do not need her to discuss, except to say that such curative or preventative power has been much exaggerated and is very limited and uncertain. But whatever these merits or demerits may be, it is obvious on fundamental principles of medical and civic ethics, that such a dangerous and repugnant practice of disease inoculation and blood poisoning should not be made compulsory on any person for any excuse whatever. It must be here remembered that the old smallpox inoculation had a certain preventative power and yet it was finally condemned and abandoned for reasons of its great danger as causing more disease and death than it prevented….I think I can now say with perfect truth and soberness, and without fear of refutation, that this modern system of compulsory vaccination is the most violent and dangerous and most immoral or unethical system of medication that has ever been devised by a most honorable yet most

presumptuous profession which has been proved guilty of the most gigantic medical mistakes in its past history of the centuries….”

This was 100 years ago.

And yet, all of his condemnations of vaccines still apply today.

Absolutely nothing has changed since then--no improvements have been made--and yet compulsory vaccination is still being pushed on millions the world over.

Just consider this, for example…

On the Jason Goodman Show, on May 16, 2020, the wonderful Alan Dershowitz made these jaw-dropping comments:

“Let me put it very clearly, you have no constitutional right to endanger the public and spread a disease, even if you disagree, you have no right not to be vaccinated.…”

Just after saying this, Goodman interrupted him and said:

"Wait, can I stop you? Did you, no right not to be vaccinated? Meaning, if they decide we have to be vaccinated, we have to be vaccinated?"

Dershowitz then replied:

"Absolutely! And if you refuse to be vaccinated, the state has the power literally to take you to a doctor's office and plunge a needle into your arm."

Unbelievable!

“Vaccination is a barbarous practice and one of the most fatal of all the delusions current in our time. Conscientious objectors to vaccination should stand alone, if need be, against the whole world, in defense of their conviction….

“The vaccine is a filthy substance and it is foolish to expect that one kind of filth can be removed by another.” - Mahatma Gandhi.